



HEMATOLOGY, TRANSFUSION AND CELL THERAPY

www.htct.com.br



Letter to the Editor

Comment on clinical characteristics and outcomes of non-tuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A retrospective cohort study

1 To the editor:

2 We eagerly read the paper entitled “Clinical characteristics
3 and outcomes of non-tuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary
4 infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A
5 retrospective cohort study” by Zahia Esber [1] with great inter-
6 est. The authors assessed the clinical manifestations, diagno-
7 sis, and consequences of lung infections caused by
8 nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) in patients who received
9 hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). The study
10 offers evidence-based recommendations for improving NTM
11 infection diagnosis, risk assessment, and management in a
12 susceptible post-transplant population.

13 As the authors point out, one of the study’s main limita-
14 tions is the difficulty in distinguishing between colonization
15 and actual NTM pulmonary infection. One third (35.3 %) of the
16 cases were categorized as “possible” infections (positive cul-
17 tures alone) despite the application of the American Thoracic
18 Society (ATS) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America
19 (IDSA) criteria, which recent systematic reviews indicate may
20 not necessarily imply clinically severe disease needing treat-
21 ment. For example, single positive test results frequently
22 indicate colonization, but ≥ 2 positive cultures are linked to a
23 98 % chance of *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) pulmo-
24 nary illness. Also according to the study’s findings, the major-
25 ity of patients (76.5 %) had positive results even though they
26 did not receive therapy [1–3]. But the authors could make
27 their arguments stronger by specifically citing this kind of
28 research to put their management choices in perspective.
29 Also, the lack of rapidly growing mycobacteria (RGM) instan-
30 ces (such as *Mycobacterium abscessus*) restricts the generaliz-
31 ability to more aggressive NTM species, a situation that calls
32 for additional multicenter research.

33 There is a lack of a control group of HSCT patients with no
34 NTM infection, which is another limitation of this study.

Without this comparison, it is challenging to identify the clin- 35
ical characteristics, risk factors, or results that are directly 36
related to NTM infection as opposed to HSCT or related issues 37
such as immunosuppression or graft-versus-host disease 38
(GvHD). This makes it difficult to draw conclusions about cau- 39
sality and restricts the capacity to pinpoint the main risk fac- 40
tors or results linked to NTM. Liu et al., in their cohort 41
analysis, addressed this restriction by comparing HSCT 42
patients with and without mycobacterial infections. This 43
made it possible to more clearly identify independent risk fac- 44
tors and outcomes linked to NTM infection. Their research 45
highlights the significance of including a control group that is 46
not infected in order to improve the validity and generaliz- 47
ability of results [4]. 48

The authors in this study noted that 86.3 % of patients with 49
NTM infections were on steroids and immunosuppressive ther- 50
apy for GvHD. However, they did not conduct a thorough statis- 51
tical analysis to determine whether GvHD and its treatment 52
independently increased the risk of NTM infections. For exam- 53
ple, a retrospective cohort study by Upton et al. found that 54
chronic GvHD and cytomegalovirus (CMV) viremia were signifi- 55
cantly associated with a higher risk of NTM disease, with hazard 56
ratios of 1.99 and 5.77, respectively. Although routine NTM 57
screening may be warranted in high-risk subgroups, such as 58
those with GvHD or CMV coinfection, its usefulness should be 59
substantiated by quantitative analysis of independent risk [5]. 60

According to von Elm et al. in the STROBE Statement [6], 61
“authors should report efforts to address potential sources of 62
bias, such as design strategies, statistical adjustments, or sen- 63
sitivity analyses.” The current study lacks any such reporting, 64
making it difficult to assess the robustness of its conclusions. 65
The authors should explicitly identify and discuss potential 66
sources of bias inherent in the retrospective design, such as 67
selection and information bias. The inclusion of strategies to 68
minimize bias—such as blinded image review or comparison 69

to a control group—would strengthen the study's credibility and align it with best practices in observational research.

In contrast, Kontoyiannis et al. effectively handled bias in a similar HSCT cohort study by using matched controls, stratification, and acknowledging the limitations of their retrospective design [7]. Their approach enhances internal validity and should serve as a methodological benchmark.

Funding statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethical consent

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Both authors contributed to the conceptualization, writing, and critical review of the manuscript. Both authors reviewed and approved the final version of the letter.

Conflicts of interest

None.

REFERENCES

- Esber Z, Salam H, Godara S, Soubani A. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of non-tuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a retrospective cohort study. *Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.* 2025;47(3):103841.
- Daley CL, Iaccarino JM, Lange C, Cambau E, Wallace RJ, Andrejak C, et al. Treatment of nontuberculous mycobacterial

- pulmonary disease: an official ATS/ERS/ESCMID/IDSA clinical practice guideline. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;71(4):905–13.
- Marshall JE, Mercaldo RA, Lipner EM, Prevots DR. Nontuberculous mycobacteria testing and culture positivity in the United States. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2024;24(1):288.
- Liu YC, Wu CJ, Ko PS, Chien SH, Fan NW, Wang HY, et al. Mycobacterial infections in adult recipients of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a cohort study in a high endemic area. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect.* 2020;53(2):274–82.
- Beswick J, Shin E, Michelis FV, Thyagu S, Viswabandya A, Lipton JH, et al. Incidence and risk factors for nontuberculous mycobacterial infection after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transpl.* 2018;24(2):366–72.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The strengthening the reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet.* 2007;370(9596):1453–7.
- Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Park BJ, Alexander BD, Anaissie EJ, Walsh TJ, et al. Prospective surveillance for invasive fungal infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, 2001–2006: overview of the transplant-associated infection surveillance network (TRANSNET) Database. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(8):1091–100.

Marhaba Khan , Tahir Abdul Qadir *
Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan

*Corresponding author at: Jinnah Sindh Medical University, V22W+F2H Rafiqui HJ, Iqbal Shaheed Rd, Karachi Cantonment, Karachi City, Sindh 75510, Pakistan.
E-mail address: tahirabdulqadir786@gmail.com (T.A. Qadir).

Received 3 July 2025
Accepted 28 July 2025
Available online xxx

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2025.106090>
2531–1379/
© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).