

Single-system disease

- Bone-only – Single bone – Curettage provides tissue diagnosis and treatment. Radiation therapy (RT) may be used for selected adults, but not children.
- Multiple bones – For ≥ 2 bone lesions, lesion ≥ 5 cm, femoral or vertebral involvement, or CNS-risk bone (ie, orbit, mastoid, temporal, sphenoid), treatment involves systemic therapy.

Surgery or RT may be added in selected cases.

- Skin-only – Topical steroids or mustard, or oral hydroxyurea, methotrexate, thalidomide, or lenalidomide can be effective.
- Multisystem – Multisystem disease requires systemic therapy.
- Children – For initial systemic treatment of children with LCH, we suggest induction therapy with vinblastine plus prednisone (V-P), rather than other chemotherapy regimens or a targeted agent (Grade 2C).

Treatment response guides further management; continuation therapy is 12 months for response to V-P.

- CNS or risk organ involvement – For adults with BRAF V600E-mutated LCH and involvement of CNS or a risk organ, we suggest a BRAF inhibitor (eg, vemurafenib, dabrafenib), rather than systemic chemotherapy (Grade 2C).

For adults with BRAF wildtype LCH with CNS or risk organ involvement, we suggest cytarabine or cladribine, rather than combination chemotherapy or a targeted agent (Grade 2C).

- Response assessment – Positron emission tomography (PET) is preferred for response assessment, but computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or clinical assessment is used when PET is not available or appropriate (eg, brain lesions).
- **Long-term surveillance** – Patients are at risk for treatment-related toxicity, second cancers, and endocrine complications.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2023.09.016>

Sp04

THROMBOSIS IN CHILDHOOD LEUKEMIA AND LYMPHOMA

Hasan Fatih Çakmaklı

Department of Pediatric Hematology, Ankara University, Ankara, Türkiye

Thrombosis in childhood usually develops secondary to underlying causes. One of the most important risk factors is cancer. It has been reported that the incidence of thrombosis in children with cancer is 2-16% when symptomatic thrombosis is mentioned and it climbs up to 50% if asymptomatic conditions are included. Thrombosis associated with childhood cancers is multifactorial. In addition to the prothrombotic effect of cancer, mass effect, vascular invasion of cancer, drugs used (e.g., steroid, asparaginase), catheter, infection, immobilization, surgery, total parenteral nutrition, and comorbid genetic thrombophilia are the most important underlying etiologies. Thrombosis can cause morbidity,

mortality, as well as inadequate or delayed treatment. Among childhood cancers, thrombosis risk is more common in acute lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma than in solid malignancies. Among the drugs used for the treatment of thrombosis, low molecular weight heparin constitutes the most important group. Warfarin, on the other hand, can be preferred in case of long-term use, but its use may be challenging due to polypharmacy and nutritional instability on warfarin efficiency. Thrombolytic therapies are rarely used in selected cases. In addition to general measures to reduce the risk of thrombosis, prophylaxis is controversial. Prophylaxis has not been included in the standard guidelines for the prevention of thromboembolic complications in childhood. It can be considered for use in high-risk patients. However, prophylaxis during cancer treatment may be more challenging, especially in this group of patients who need frequent interventions (e.g., intrathecal treatments) and have an increased risk of bleeding secondary to thrombocytopenia and coagulopathies. There are many continuing studies on the prophylactic and therapeutic use of new-generation anticoagulants in childhood.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2023.09.017>

Sp05

CANCER TREATMENT-RELATED CARDIOTOXICITY: OPTIMIZING HEART HEALTH FROM DIAGNOSIS TO LONG-TERM FOLLOW-UP

Melissa M. Hudson, MD

St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America

Improvements in overall survival rates for children diagnosed with cancer have led to a growing number of long-term childhood cancer survivors and an increasing recognition of the late health conditions they may experience. Among these are cardiac conditions, most commonly associated with prior anthracycline chemotherapy and chest-directed radiation exposing the heart. Potential late effects of anthracycline chemotherapy and chest-directed radiation therapy include cardiomyopathy, subclinical left ventricular dysfunction, heart failure, and arrhythmia. In addition, chest-directed radiation exposing cardiac substructures has been associated with risk for pericarditis, pericardial fibrosis, valvular disease, atherosclerotic heart disease and myocardial dysfunction. Patient (e.g., age at exposure, family history, genetic variation) and treatment (e.g., cumulative dose, multimodality cardiotoxic therapy) factors influence the magnitude of risk. In addition, co-morbid medical conditions (e.g., hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity) and health behaviors (e.g., smoking) can exacerbate risk in aging survivors. Recognition of treatment associations and adverse cardiac outcomes has informed risk-stratification strategies used in contemporary protocols and guided health surveillance recommendations for long-term survivors. Dexrazoxane has also been used for primary prevention of anthracycline cardiotoxicity in high

exposure groups. Screening guidelines recommend frequency-adapted (based on cumulative cardiotoxic exposures) echocardiography to facilitate early identification of cardiomyopathy as well as attention to modifiable cardiovascular disease risk factors and health behaviors. This presentation will provide an overview of cardiotoxic cancer treatment modalities and current approaches to prevent cardiac disease and preserve cardiac function.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2023.09.018>

Sp06

TRANSFUSION IN PEDIATRIC ONCOLOGY

Suheyla Ocak

IUC, Cerrahpasa Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology

Transfusion of blood components is a critical – life-saving – part of the care of children with hematologic and oncologic diseases. According to studies, pediatric oncology patients account for approximately 25% of all inpatient pediatric transfusions in clinical practice. Pediatric oncology patients may require multiple transfusions of blood components, including red cells, platelets, and plasma, due to underlying disease, bone marrow suppression, and therapy-related bleeding. There are few studies that specifically address transfusion in the pediatric oncology patient population. Recently, some recommendation papers or guidelines have been adopted in the literature.

In children with oncologic diagnoses or in patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation who are critically ill or at risk of critical illness and who are hemodynamically stable, an Hb concentration of 7 to 8 g/dL is suggested as a threshold for red blood cell transfusion. For platelet transfusions, both the ICTMG and ASCO advocate a threshold of $10 \times 10^9/L$ for prophylactic platelet transfusion, and children undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for sickle cell disease are at high risk for intracranial hemorrhage, so the platelet count should be at least $50 \times 10^9/L$ in the period immediately after transplantation. There are no specific data for plasma transfusions in oncologic patients, and standard indications established for critically ill children are used in clinical practice. More limited to children with hematologic and oncologic disease, granulocyte transfusions may be considered in children with an absolute neutrophil count less than 500/mL or known neutrophil dysfunction and invasive clinical infection with demonstrated inadequate response to antimicrobial therapy.

In addition to selecting the type, timing, and dosage of blood product, the decision for leukoreduction, irradiation and washing is critical in pediatric oncology patients.

Further research surrounding indications, risk, benefits, and alternatives to RBC transfusion in critically ill children with oncologic diagnoses or undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplant is sorely lacking. Although strong evidence-based guidelines for this patient population do not exist, given the morbidities associated with the receipt of blood

products, practitioners should attempt to use restrictive transfusion strategies.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2023.09.019>

Sp07

APPROACH TO PAIN MANAGEMENT

Tuba Eren

Trakya University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Hematology-Oncology Edirne, Turkey

International Association for the Study of Pain describes pain as 'An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with, or resembling that associated with, actual or potential tissue damage' (1). The phenomenon of pain is a common and underdiagnosed distressing symptom, resulting from the interaction between neural pathways and neurochemical mediators. An important group that suffers from acute and chronic pain -both at the beginning of the disease and in the later stages- are pediatric cancer patients. It is known that more than half of all children with cancer experience moderate to severe pain. Management of pain in childhood cancer plays an important role in patients' life quality and compliance with their treatment. Moreover, it is thought that uncontrolled pain may have negative effects on immune system functions, wound healing, tumor growth, and gastrointestinal functions through cortisol and neurochemokines that occur as a result of pain (2).

Pain can be categorized into three types for determining the etiology which may guide treatment choices:

Nociceptive pain: Tissue injury and inflammation cause activation of nociceptors by inflammatory mediators and activate neurons that transmit the pain. Bone metastasis and mucositis are examples of this group. 'Somatic Nociceptive pain' is typically well localized and described as sharp, aching, squeezing, stabbing, or throbbing. 'Visceral Nociceptive pain' is often described as dull or crampy.

Neuropathic pain is caused by nerve injury (resulting from compression, transection, infiltration, ischemia, or metabolic injury to the nerves) and can be described as burning, scratching, tingling or with numbness.

Nociplastic pain occurs without evidence of tissue or nerve damage. The mechanisms are not well understood. It is thought that dysfunction of the pain signals of central nervous system plays a role (1).

Assessment of the severity of pain in children is more difficult than adults and it is related to the child's age, cognitive ability and clinical condition. Observational– behavioral scales consider child's reaction to pain for younger children or cognitively impaired patients. The most common scales are FLACC (used for children < 3 years), facial expressions in the Wong-Baker pain scale for 3-8 ages, and numerical evaluations in the Wong-Baker pain scale for children older than 8 years (3).

Multidisciplinary and individualized pain management incorporating pharmacological and non-pharmacological