



Original article

Effects of therapeutic plasma exchange on a cohort of patients with severe coronavirus infection: real world evidence from Brazil



Paula Menezes Schiefferdecker ^a, Iara Buselato Chen ^b,
Fernanda Bronzel Bher ^a, Leonardo Klettenberg Aciolli ^a,
Geovana Bodanese ^a, Lucas Miyake Okumura ^c,
Paulo Tadeu Rodrigues de Almeida ^{a,d,*}

^a Instituto Pasquini, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

^b Hospital Nossa Senhora das Graças, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

^c Value ArchTech, 100 Pasteur St, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

^d Vitapart, 290 Cap Souza Franco St, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 March 2022

Accepted 4 January 2023

Available online 9 February 2023

Keywords:

Coronavirus

Sars-cov-2

Therapeutic plasma exchange

Mortality

Plasma

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) controls the systemic cytokine level and might improve the immune response in patients with severe Coronavirus (COVID-19) infection. To date, in developing countries, no study has explored the effectiveness and risk factors in a population with severe COVID-19 exposed to the TPE.

Method: We described the risk factors associated with survival rates higher than 28 days and length of stay (LOS) in the intensive care unit (ICU) shorter than 15 days. Severe COVID-19 cases treated with TPE were included, from August 2020 to June 2021. Survival analysis with Kaplan-Meier curves, log-rank tests and multivariate logistic regressions were conducted to assess patient-related factors that could predict a higher survival rate and the ICU LOS.

Results: A total of 99 patients with severe COVID-19 who had received TPE were followed during their hospital stay and for 28 days after discharge. The sample was composed of men (63%) aged 56 ± 16 years. The overall survival rate at 28 days was 80%. The ICU LOS ($p = 0.0165$) and mechanical ventilation (MV) ($p = 0.00008$) were considered factors that could increase the risk of death. Patient-related factors that influenced the 28-day mortality were the smoking status (OR = 5.8; 95%CI 1.5, 22) and history of oncologic or non-malignant hematologic diseases (OR = 5.9; 95%CI 1.2, 29).

Conclusion: Patients with severe COVID-19 exposed to the TPE were associated with a 20% risk of death in a 28-day observation window, appearing to be lower than previous treatments. Active smoking, cancer and immunosuppressive conditions should be considered as relevant variables to be controlled in future trials on the TPE and COVID-19.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author at: 433 - Mercês, Curitiba, PR, CEP: 80810-040, Brazil.

E-mail address: paulotadeurodriguesdealmeida@gmail.com (P.T.R. de Almeida).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2023.01.004>

2531-1379/© 2023 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

To date (December 1, 2022), 643 million confirmed cases of Coronavirus (COVID-19) infection and more than 6.63 million associated deaths were identified worldwide, according to the World Health Organization.¹

In developing countries, the impact of such a disease might have been worse than in higher income countries, due to the reduced access to the health care system, higher geographic population density, health inequalities and important social and economic disparities.² Brazil had an incidence rate of 1160 per 10,000 habitants, while in Canada the incidence was 783 per 10,000 habitants (data from January 27, 2022).^{1,3} If the Brazilian healthcare system, regarding access and resources available, were similar to those in developed countries, the impact of the morbidity and mortality could have been lower.⁴

Despite the high number of COVID-19 trials ($n > 7381$) to date, experimental treatments for COVID-19 infection are still scarce.⁵ Indeed, many experimental approaches were offered to reduce the risk of death and to mitigate intensive care unit (ICU) admissions and prolonged stay. The mechanical ventilation (MV) use was also considered an important outcome in those trials, because of the difficulty in obtaining hospital supplies during the pandemic. In addition to dexamethasone, few therapeutic alternatives were associated with improved outcomes.^{6,7}

One of the treatment approaches included therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE),⁸ a procedure that is able to downregulate prothrombotic proteins, decreasing antifibrinolytic mediators and restoring the balance of anticoagulant proteins in patients with COVID-19 infection. It is thought that the cytokine storm, mainly due to the interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-23, in association with the release of pro-thrombotic agents, increases the likelihood of thromboembolic events, which was seen in 21% of the patients.⁹

The TPE use has been raising the interest of many researchers, as 28 ongoing trials were registered in clinicaltrials.gov. However, until recently, only observational evidence suggested that the TPE might be associated with an improved overall survival (OS). In patients with COVID-19, a 28-day survival with the TPE (91%, 95%CI 78; 97) appears to be higher than the propensity score-matched controls who received the standard of care (61%, 95%CI 51; 78). In addition, the rapid reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines might promote better oxygen saturation and the control of high levels of transaminases, creatinine, ferritin, c-reactive protein, and dimer-D.¹¹

That said, in developing countries, such as Brazil, where the burden of COVID-19 seems to be a significant public health problem, the TPE used as an available alternative therapeutic might be an interesting resource to decrease ICU length of stay (LOS) and mortality.

In this exploratory study, we described the risk factors for ≥ 15 days of ICU stay and the 28-day mortality in severe COVID-19 cases treated with the TPE in Brazil.

Methods

Study design

In this prospective cohort study, we described the risk factors for ≥ 15 days of ICU stay and the 28-day mortality in severe COVID-19 cases treated with TPE in Brazil from August 8, 2020 to June 2, 2021. The study was Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved and had the consent of all patients or caregivers to receive the TPE.

Inclusion of patients

The study included: (a) adult patients (≥ 18 years old) with the confirmation of severe COVID-19, which meant the positive PCR-RT test and ICU admission due to respiratory insufficiency ($O_2 > 10$ L/min); (b) viral infection symptoms in the last 12 days; (c) high levels of pro-calcitonin; (d) infection-like hemogram; (e) lymphopenia, and; (f) more than 50% compromised lung seen through tomography.

Procedures

All patients received the best ICU support, which included respiratory support (invasive or non-invasive methods), dialysis (as needed), gastric protection, corticosteroids, thromboembolism prophylaxis and symptomatic treatment.

The TPE was performed through a central venous catheter. For 3 to 5 consecutive days, patients received continuous cycles of Optia® (Terumo ©), exchanging 1 to 1½ plasma volumes (50 ml/kg) and fresh plasma replacement. In other words, some patients were submitted to 3, some to 4 and some to 5 TPE sessions. There were no data collection regarding the TPE safety, as it is well tolerated.

Statistical analyses

Survival analysis with Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank tests were used to explore the OS, and the influence of mechanical ventilation (MV) and > 15 -day ICU stay on the 28-day mortality after hospital admission. Univariate and multivariate logistic regressions were conducted to assess patient-related factors that could predict a prolonged ICU stay (> 15 days) and 28-day mortality. Results were reported as odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and p -values.

Sample size

As the current study is exploratory, that is, it has no prespecified hypothesis testing, the sample size was calculated based on the proportion of patients with COVID-19 treated with the TPE. The Kamran et al. study suggested that 91% of the patients survived after the TPE use.¹¹ By using a 10% margin of error, we are 95% confident that a sample of at least 32 patients would be necessary to represent the Kamran et al. case register.¹² Therefore, the study tried to recruit about thrice the sample required above to reduce uncertainty.

Results

Of the 114 patients initially eligible, 99 had a complete data collection and 15 were excluded due to the lack of data on mortality and other study outcomes.

The sample was mainly composed of adults (56 years old, on average) and men (63%). Most of the patients required MV (92%) and had a history of cardiovascular disease (38%), followed by obesity (25%), smoking history (16%) and cancer or a non-malignant hematologic condition (11%) (Table 1).

The 28-day survival rate was 80%. In the exploratory analysis, among those patients who required MV, the length of stay was higher in those who died (23 days vs. 15 days, $p = NS$). Survival rate was lower in patients exposed to MV ($p = 0.00008$). A shorter ICU stay was a significant predictor of high survival rates (≤ 15 days vs. > 15 days, $p = 0.0165$).

Initially, when assessing patient-related risk factors, age, female sex, absence of comorbidity, smoking history, type-2 diabetes and cancer/hematologic conditions were considered risk factors for the 28-day mortality. (Table 2) However, in multivariate analysis, only the smoking status (15%, OR = 5.8; 95%CI 1.5, 22) and history of oncologic or hematologic diseases (11%, OR = 5.9; 95%CI 1.2, 29) were shown to be robust predictors of death (Table 3).

Discussion

The first study on the TPE in critically severe COVID-19-infected patients in Brazil suggested that the 28-day mortality (20%) was similar to previous estimates.¹¹ In a larger and comparative study conducted in Pakistan, Kamran et al., 2021, applied the same technique of TPE in a similar population that was studied in the present paper and found that survival was higher among those who received the procedure (91%, 95% CI 78.33 – 97.76) and better than the propensity score-matched population (61, 95% CI 51.29 – 78.76, log rank p -value < 0.001). Other studies have been reporting similar results in

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics of 99 patients with severe Covid-19 submitted to therapeutic plasma exchange.

	n = 99
Age, in years, mean (sd)	56.6 (16)
Sex, male, n (%)	62 (62)
No comorbidity, n (%)	14 (14)
Pregnant, n (%)	4 (4)
Respiratory disease, n (%)	8 (8)
Tobacco use history, yes, n (%)	16 (16)
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	38 (38)
Overweight/obese, n (%)	25 (25)
Type 2 diabetes, n (%)	12 (12)
Renal disease, n (%)	4 (4)
Oncologic disease / Immunosuppression condition, n (%)	11 (11)
Other diseases, n (%)	26 (26)

both the TPE-exposed group and controls, suggesting that the benefits of such a procedure might be valid and reproducible through different settings.¹³

These findings are compatible with the previous hypothesis on the cytokine storm effect on multiple-organ failure, where it appears that a strategy, such as the TPE, that interferes with as many as possible inflammatory pathways, including those related to coagulation activation, might be associated with better results.¹⁴ Immune system down regulators, such as dexamethasone, provided lower 28-day mortality in comparison to a placebo (29.3% vs. 41.4%; rate ratio, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.81).¹⁵ Several drugs that failed to demonstrate mortality reduction were commonly single cytokine regulators, such as tocilizumab (IL-6) and chloroquine (TNF and IL-6).^{14,16}

One important issue that might impact TPE-related effectiveness is the early initiation of the TPE (1 to 1 1/2 times the patient plasma volume with fresh frozen plasma), use of 4 - 5% albumin or COVID-19 convalescent plasma as replacement fluids before multiorgan failure, as they had demonstrated better COVID-19 recovery.¹⁷ However, the TPE still lacks

Table 2 – Bivariate analyses considering baseline characteristics and ICU stay or death.

	ICU < 15 days (n = 39)	ICU ≥ 15 days (n = 60)	p-value	Death (28th day) (n = 31)	Alive (n = 68)	p-value
Age, in years, mean (sd)†	57 (17)	55 (16)	0.622	63 (13)	53 (17)	0.0068
Sex, female, n (%)*	13 (33)	26 (67)	0.023	8 (26)	29 (43)	0.1227
No comorbidity, n (%)*	6 (15)	9 (23)	0.308	0	14 (21)	0.0043
Pregnant, n (%)*	1 (2.5)	3 (8)	0.583	0	4 (6)	0.3059
Respiratory disease, n (%)*	2 (5)	6 (15)	0.422	3 (10)	5 (7)	0.7027
Tobacco history, n (%)*	6 (15)	9 (23)	0.308	11 (35)	5 (7)	< 0.0001
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)*	19 (49)	21 (54)	0.401	15 (48)	23 (34)	0.167
Overweight/obese, n (%)*	14 (36)	12 (31)	0.221	7 (23)	18 (26)	0.679
Type 2 diabetes, n (%)*	6 (15)	8 (21)	0.343	7 (23)	5 (7)	0.0457
Renal disease, n (%)*	3 (7.5)	2 (5)	0.645	2 (6)	2 (3)	0.5873
Oncologic disease / Immune suppression condition, n (%)*	4 (10)	8 (21)	0.343	7 (23)	4 (6)	0.0327
Other diseases, n (%)*	13 (33)	14 (36)	0.175	8 (26)	18 (26)	0.578
ICU ≥ 15 days	–	–	–	19 (61)	10 (15)	< 0.0001

Legends: † Mann-Whitney U; * chi-square or Fisher exact test, as appropriate.

Table 3 – 28-day mortality predictors based on multivariate analyses.

	OR	p-value	95% CI
Age (in years)	1.03	0.0611	0.99 to 1.08
Sex (male)*	0.50	0.1940	0.18 to 1.41
Positive tobacco use history*	5.81	0.0099	1.52 to 22
Presence of cardiovascular disease*	1.13	0.8257	0.37 to 3.45
Overweight/obesity*	1.25	0.7276	0.36 to 4.32
Diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes*	2.39	0.2347	0.56 to 10
Diagnosis* of Oncologic disease / Immune suppression condition	5.95	0.0285	1.2 to 29

Observations: *references for regression analyses respectively include the following (females), negative tobacco use history, absence of cardiovascular disease, no overweight or obesity status, no type 2 diabetes, no diagnosis of oncologic or immune suppression condition.

randomized controlled trial confirmation, which is expected to come from one of the 28 ongoing studies, according to clinicaltrials.gov (last seen October 2021).¹⁰

The benchmark 28-day mortality rate for severe COVID-19 can be as high as 40% in patients who did not receive steroids and 35% in patients not exposed to early TPE.^{11,15} On the other hand, it is not feasible to treat all severe COVID-19 patients, as seen with other resources that became scarce during the pandemic, such as oxygen and even ICU beds. In this scenario, it might appear that treating patients at higher risk of death, such as those identified in our study (smoking history or cancer diagnosis, for example) might provide a better sense of priority when decision-making on better resource allocation is needed.

This study is not absent of limitations. Firstly, this is a cohort study that, neither aimed to test the hypothesis that the TPE is better than the standard ICU care, nor looked at determining the adverse event rates related to the TPE, though no catheter-related events were found in the study. Even if the prolonged ICU stay were associated with lower survival rates, it does not mean that the TPE was an independent predictor of the ICU stay. Further comparative studies (parallel-arm investigations between two or more therapies) are needed to conclude that the TPE might reduce the ICU length of stay. On the other hand, our findings were similar to larger studies in different settings, suggesting that there might be an external validity in our findings. That said, local experience with the TPE could be considered successful and should be validated through experimental study designs. Secondly, we did not investigate the role of cytokine levels, ICU scores (such as the APACHE) and results might be limited to the investigated covariates. Therefore, independent predictors of mortality identified in this paper should be carefully interpreted. It is important to comment that COVID-19 still is a condition with few studies. As an example, the APACHE scores are not able to completely predict survival in severely infected patients¹⁸. Finally, though limitations are presented in the study, this paper has a significant contribution to public health, as COVID-19 was one of the most impacting infectious diseases in human history. It is also highly relevant when science still failed to demonstrate a quick response to identify

therapies that could recover patients from COVID-19 infections. Identifying therapies that could reduce the clinical burden of COVID-19 does not mean that prevention (vaccine and public isolation) is not needed. On the contrary, new therapies might be relevant to improve resource allocation (prevent the COVID-19 prolonged hospital stay, for example) in budget constrained health systems.

Conclusion

In this exploratory study, patients with severe COVID-19 exposed to the TPE were associated with a 20% risk of death in a 28-day post-discharge follow-up, appearing to be lower than the risk rates in previous treatments. The smoking status and history of cancer or hematologic diseases might predict an increased risk of death, which might be relevant variables to be controlled in ongoing trials on the TPE.

Conflicts of interest

None.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. COVID statistics, <https://covid19.who.int/>; 2022 [accessed 27 March 2022].
- Albuquerque MV, Ribeiro LHL. Inequality, geographic situation, and meanings of action in the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2021;36(12):e00208720. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00208720>. eCollection 2021.
- Government of Canada. Coronavirus disease. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/coronavirus-disease-covid-19.html>; 2022 [accessed 27 March 2022].
- Sabino EC, Buss LF, Carvalho MPS, Prete Jr CA, Crispim MAE, Fraiji NA, et al. Resurgence of COVID-19 in Manaus, Brazil, despite high seroprevalence. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10273):452–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00183-5).
- United States National Library of Medicine. ClinicalTrials.gov. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=covid&term=&country=&state=&city=&dist=>; 2022 [accessed 27 March 2022].
- Lane HC, Fauci AS. Research in the context of a pandemic. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384:755–7. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe2024638>.
- Razonable RR, Pawlowski C, O'Horo JC, Arndt LL, Arndt R, Bierle DM, et al. Casirivimab-Imdevimab treatment is associated with reduced rates of hospitalization among high-risk patients with mild to moderate coronavirus disease-19. *EclinicalMedicine*. 2021;40:101102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101102>.
- United States National Library of Medicine. ClinicalTrials.gov. <https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04685655>; 2022 [accessed 27 March 2022].
- Malas MB, Naazie IN, Elsayed N, Mathlouthi A, Marmor R, Clary B. Thromboembolism risk of COVID-19 is high and associated with a higher risk of mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *EclinicalMedicine*. 2020;29:100639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100639>.
- United States National Library of Medicine. ClinicalTrials.gov. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=covid&term=TPE&country=&state=&city=&dist=>; 2022 [accessed 27 March 2022].
- Kamran SM, Mirza ZE, Naseem A, Liaqat J, Fazal I, Alamgir W, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange for coronavirus disease-

- 2019 triggered cytokine release syndrome; a retrospective propensity matched control study. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(1):e0244853. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244853>.
12. Daniel WW. *Biostatistics: a foundation for analysis in the health sciences*. 7th ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1999.
 13. Khamis F, Al-Zakwani I, Al Hashmi S, Al Dowaiqi S, Al Bahrani M, Pandak N, Al Khalili H, Memish Z. Therapeutic plasma exchange in adults with severe COVID-19 infection. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2020;99:214–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.06.064>.
 14. Ye Q, Wang B, Mao J. The pathogenesis and treatment of the 'Cytokine Storm' in COVID-19. *J Infect*. 2020;80(6):607–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.037>.
 15. The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384:693–704.
 16. Rosas IO, Bräu N, Waters M, Go RC, Hunter BD, Bhagani S, et al. Tocilizumab in patients hospitalized with Covid-19 pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(16):1503–16. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2028700>.
 17. Patidar GK, Land KJ, Vrielink H, Rahimi-Levene N, Dann EJ, Al-Humaidan H, et al. Understanding the role of therapeutic plasma exchange in COVID-19: preliminary guidance and practices. *Vox Sang*. 2021;116(7):798–807. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vox.13067>.
 18. Beigmohammadi MT, Amoozadeh L, Rezaei Motlagh F, Rahimi M, Maghsoudloo M, Jafarnejad B, Eslami B, Salehi MR, Zendeheel K. Mortality predictive value of APACHE II and SOFA scores in COVID-19 patients in the intensive care unit. *Can Respir J*. 2022;2022:5129314. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5129314>.