ARTICLE IN PRESS

HEMATOL TRANSFUS CELL THER. 2025;xxx(xx):103863



HEMATOLOGY, TRANSFUSION AND CELL THERAPY



www.htct.com.br

Original article

Risk factors associated with HIV infection in four large Brazilian blood centers: A multicentric case-control study (2009–2017)

Pernanda Dominique de Souza Gonçalves ^a, Flávia da Costa Silva ^a, Isabel Cristina Gomes Moura ^b, Cesar de Almeida-Neto ^c, Brian Custer ^{d,e}, Ester Cerdeira Sabino ^f, Paula Loureiro ^g, Carolina Miranda ^h, Luiz de Melo Amorim Filho ⁱ, Tassila Salomon ^a*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 March 2024 Accepted 31 March 2025 Available online xxx

Keywords:

HIV Risk factors Blood donors

ABSTRACT

Background: Strategies to reduce contamination by transfusion-transmissible infections are constantly evolving. Over the years, HIV residual risk has decreased in several countries. However, in Brazil a recent study showed that the residual risk remains substantially higher than in other countries. Continuous surveillance of risk behaviors for infection in donors can help in pre-donation screening to reduce the risk of HIV in blood transfusions. Methods: This analysis evaluated risk factors related to HIV infection among blood donors from four large Brazilian blood centers located in São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Belo Horizonte and Recife, from 2009–2017. A binary logistic model was used to evaluate any association between risk characteristics and behaviors and the occurrence of HIV. The significant variables were included in a saturated model, to which the backward strategy was applied to arrive at the final model. The analyses were carried out using the R program version 4.1.2 and p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results: A total of 1507 blood donors were included in the study, 716 were HIV positive and 791 were uninfected controls. Demographics significantly associated with infection were: Male sex, incomplete secondary education, separated/divorced/widowed, and bisexual/homosexual orientation. Behaviors most strongly associated with infection were: work-place exposure, intravenous drugs and men who had sex with other men.

E-mail address: tassilasalomon@gmail.com (T. Salomon).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2025.103863

2531-1379/© 2025 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: F.D.d.S. Gonçalves et al., Risk factors associated with HIV infection in four large Brazilian blood centers: A multicentric case-control study (2009–2017), Hematology, Transfusion and Cell Therapy (2025), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2025.103863

^a Faculdade Ciências Médicas de Minas Gerais (FCM-MG), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

^b Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

^c Fundação Pró-Sanque Hemocentro de São Paulo (FPS), São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^d Vitalant Reserach Institute, San Francisco, CA, USA

^e University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

f Instituto de Medicina Tropical da Universidade de São Paulo (IMT, USP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil

g Fundação de Hematologia e Hemoterapia de Pernambuco (Hemope), Recife, PE, Brazil

^h Fundação de Hematologia e Hemoterapia de Minas Gerais (Hemominas), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

ⁱ Instituto Estadual de Hematologia Arthur de Siqueira Cavalcanti (Hemorio), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^{*} Corresponding author.

Conclusion: The risk factors identified suggest that the blood donor screening process in Brazilian blood centers does not adequately identify donors at increased risk for HIV and further studies should be carried out to support changes to improve the process.

© 2025 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1 Introduction

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

44

45

46

47

48

Blood transfusions are critical interventions widely used to treat a range of clinical indications. Strategies to reduce contamination by transfusion-transmissible infections (TTIs), such as clinical and epidemiological screening of blood 5 donors, serological screening tests and microbiological inactivation are constantly evolving.2 Currently, the improvement in process controls of blood banks and the use of techniques. such as the nucleic acid amplification test (NAT) to detect the 9 genetic material of HIV, have reduced the risks of TTIs.3

The risk of HIV transmission through transfusion following the adoption of safety interventions is called residual risk (RR). RR has decreased in countries that have adopted measures to control blood quality from the emergence of HIV to the present day. However, a recent study showed that in Brazil there is no evidence that there has been a decrease in RR over the last ten years. The RR of HIV transmission in Brazil is 5.46 per million transfused red blood cell units,4 remaining substantially higher than in other countries such as Germany (0.52 per million transfusions),⁵ France (0.40)⁶ and Canada (0.04).7 HIV contamination through transfusion is still possible even with current procedures due to factors such as the coincidence that the donation period is within the HIV immunological window.4 Furthermore, risks change over time and a study from Brazil demonstrated that donors using antiretroviral prophylaxis have different periods of the immunological window, and that the use of antiviral prophylaxis by blood donors in the acute phase of HIV infection delays seroconversion.8

In Brazil, the RR of TTI transmission decreased significantly after the implementation of NAT; however, it remained stable after this initial reduction and remains a major concern, 9-12 especially with the introduction of antiretroviral therapies, which prolong the immunological window. Cases of blood donors using antiretrovirals have already been reported in Brazilian studies, highlighting the need for greater surveillance in this context. 13 Thus, transfusion safety measures need to be improved to reduce the RR of HIV transmission. In addition to the laboratory measures applied for quality control, the steps prior to blood collection must be assessed, which involves the process and evaluation of the donor during screening prior to donation. 14 The identification of risk factors related to HIV infection, such as age, gender, sociodemographic factors, medical history and sexual behavior in pre-donation interviews can inform changes in the donor selection process during the eligibility interview.

Some studies have shown that Audio Computer-Assisted Structured-Interviews (ACASI) allow donors to report stigmatizing behaviors (such as sexual behaviors), and may help to

close a gap in the pre-donation screening process. 15,16 In addi-50 tion, studies show that changing the approach to assessing 51 infection risks can help in pre-donation screening to reduce 52 the RR of HIV transmission. 15–18

This analysis evaluates risk factors related to HIV infection 54 in blood donors from four large Brazilian blood centers from 55 2009-2017. This period of time precedes the federally mandated removal of sexual orientation as part of the donor selection process. Before 2020, Brazilian regulations did not allow 58 donations from men who have sex with men (MSM) in the 12 59 months before donation. In May 2020, the Brazilian Supreme 60 Court prohibited asking questions about sexual orientation to 61 qualify blood donation candidates based on equal treatment 62 of all potential blood donors. 19

63

64

Study design and methods

This study was part of the NHLBI REDS-II and III International 65 program (Brazil). The study included Fundação Pró-Sangue in 66 São Paulo, Fundação Hemominas in Belo Horizonte and 67 Fundação Hemorio in Rio de Janeiro, all in the southeastern 68 and most populous region of Brazil, and Fundação Hemope in 69 Recife in Northeastern Brazil. Blood samples from each donation were screened at each center with two different enzyme immunoassay assays (EIAs) (third and fourth generation) performed in parallel. By standard procedure, if the EIAs were 73 reactive or discordant, a Western blot was performed. After 74 2012, with the gradual implementation of NAT in Brazilian 75 blood banks, a serological test was performed, carried out in 76 duplicate. Risk factor interviews for HIV infection of donors 77 were conducted from April 2009 to March 2017. In the first 78 phase of the study, from April 2009 to March 2011, HIV posi-79 tive (cases) and HIV negative (controls) donors were inter-80 viewed. In the second phase, from April 2011 to March 2017, 81 only cases were interviewed. The two datasets were combined for this analysis. All subjects included in the study completed the risk factor questionnaire at the time of return to the blood center for notification and counseling. Potential 85 controls were recruited into this study after donation. Controls tested negative for all infections for which donations are 87 screened in Brazil and were randomly selected based on 88 scheduled donation visits during the first phase.

The ACASI risk factor questionnaire was based on HIV risk 90 interviews developed by the US Centers for Disease Control 91 (CDC)²⁰ but was modified to reflect potential risk behaviors in 92 Brazil. The questionnaire included sociodemographic factors, 93 incentives and motivations to donate, sexual history, and risks of sexual partners. A social matrix was used to obtain 95 detailed information about sexual behaviors and other risks of the participant's last five sexual partners before blood 97

153 154

155

156

162

164

165

166

167

172

173

174

186

192

201

202

donation. Other factors, including alcohol and drug use, medical history, other potential risk factors (like tattooing, piercing, acupuncture, grooming at barbershops or beauty salons) and workplace exposure, were also assessed. In the analysis, the sexual orientation of the donor was included, in addition to the self-reported behaviors reported during the interview. For example, donors who reported sex exclusively with same sex partners were classified as homosexual, if they also reported sex with opposite sex partners, they were classified as bisexual, and if they reported only opposite sex partners, as heterosexual.

Statistical analysis

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

106

107

108

109

Summary descriptive statistics comparing cases and controls 110 were generated and are presented as frequencies. Bivariable 111 logistic regression was used to assess the unadjusted associa-112 tion between donor characteristics or behaviors and the 113 occurrence of HIV. Significant variables from the bivariable models were included in a saturated model, to which a backward elimination strategy was applied to arrive at the final multivariable model. Results are presented as odds ratios 117 (OR) and 95 % confidence intervals (95 % CI). The goodness of 118 fit was evaluated by the Hosmer-Lemeshow test, and multi-119 collinearity was evaluated by the variance inflation factor 120 (VIF) statistic. The analyses were performed using R version 121 4.1.2 and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. 122

Ethical considerations

124 The original ACASI interview studies were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committees in Brazil and Institutional 125 Review Boards (IRBs) in the United States. The study protocols 126 127 were approved by the Federal Committee on Human Subjects 128 (CONEP) of the Ministry of Health in Brazil as part of the 129 REDS-II/III International Program, ethics committees at all participant blood centers, and also IRBs in the United States. 130 This analysis of anonymized previously collected data was not reviewed by Ethics Committees or IRBs.

Results

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141 142

143

144

145

147

A total of 1507 blood donors participated in the study, of which 716 were cases with HIV infections and 791 were controls without infection. The ACASI were conducted from April 2009 to March 2017.

Table 1 presents the sociodemographic characteristics of all study participants. Female donors compared to males were less likely to be HIV positive (OR: 0.62; 95 % CI: 0.49 -0.78). Donors from Fundação Pró-Sangue (OR: 0.58; 95 % CI: 0.43-0.76), Hemominas (OR: 0.38; 95% CI: 0.28-0.51) and Hemorio (OR: 0.71; 95 % CI: 0.54-0.92) showed lower associations with HIV infection when compared to donors from Hemope. According to the educational level, people with incomplete high school educations were more likely to be HIV positive when compared to individuals with higher levels of education (OR: 1.30; 95 % CI: 1.04-1.63). Donors who reported being separated, divorced or widowed (OR: 4.28; 95 % CI: 2.81

-6.59), followed by the single and never married group (OR: 150 3.55; 95 % CI: 2.58-4.91) and people who live together without being married (OR: 3.62; 95 % CI: 2.80-4.72) were more likely to be HIV positive. Donors defined as bisexual (OR: 27.14; 95 % CI: 4.02-60.90) and homosexual (OR: 8.33; 95 % CI: 5.63-12.69) presented higher ORs when compared to heterosexuals.

Table 2 shows the association between risk behaviors according to HIV status, such as workplace exposure, piercing, tattooing, blood transfusion, intravenous drug use (IVDU), acupuncture, hair removal, among others. Individuals who had already used intravenous drugs at least once in their lives or had IVDU sex partners had a greater association with HIV infection (OR: 6.77; 95 % CI: 3.58-14.17). inmate populations (OR: 3.19; 95 % CI: 1.32-8.90) or individuals who have had sexual partners who were inmates at least once in their lives (OR: 4.35; 95 % CI: 2.42-8.42) were more likely to be HIV positive. Being MSM (OR: 23.54; 95 % CI: 15.90-36.12), having MSM sex without condom use (OR: 21.64; 95 % CI: 13.95-35.23) and sexual partners of MSM (OR: 20.02; 95 % CI: 13.92-29.72) were associated with HIV infection. Likewise, individuals who had sex with an HIV positive partner (OR: 23.38; 95 % CI: 11.67 -55.58) were more likely to be HIV positive. However, many of the univariate data presented in Table 2 lost significance in Table 3, which presents multivariate data.

Adjusted ORs are presented for the risk factors associated with HIV infection from the multivariable analysis in Table 3. Characteristics that were independently associated with HIV infection were lower level of education (OR 2.93; 95 % CI: 1.93 -4.42), donors who reported being separated, divorced or widowed, (OR: 3.97; 95 % CI: 2.26-8.47), followed by the single and never married group (OR: 3.47; 95 % CI: 2.23-5.48) and people who live together without being married (OR: 3.20; 95 % CI: 1.90-5.40). Behavioral factors such as workplace exposure (OR: 3.14; 95 % CI: 1.69-5.92), tattooing (OR: 1.86; 95 % CI: 1.18 -2.93), manicuring or shaving in beauty salons or barber shops (OR: 1.71; 95 % CI: 1.17-2.50), medical procedures within the last 12 months (OR: 2.60; 95 % CI: 1.81-3.76), IVDU or IVDU partner (OR: 5.75; 95 % CI: 1.88-21.89), MSM sexual partner (OR: 11.78; 95 % CI: 6.17-23.53), sex with an HIV positive partner (OR: 15,57; 95 % CI: 5.78-54.62), having had two or more heterosexual partners within the last 12 months (OR: 2.64; 95 % CI: 1.74-4.05) remained associated with greater odds of being HIV positive. Table 3 also shows the multivariate analysis stratified by gender. Male blood donors who were classified as bisexual (OR: 8.10; 95 % CI: 2.47-36.66) or homosexual (OR: 5.71; 95 % CI: 2.13-17.13) were more likely to be HIV positive. The analyzed behaviors, such as exposure at work (OR: 2.81; 95% CI: 1.44-5.60), tattooing (OR: 2.03; 95% CI: 1.18-3.52), manicuring or shaving in beauty salons or barber shops (OR: 1.65; 95 % CI: 1.10-2.49), medical procedures within the last 12 months (OR: 1.78; 95 % CI: 1.18-2.70), IVDU or partner IVDU (OR: 8.31; 95 % CI: 2.19-54.71), being MSM (OR: 8.30; 95 % CI: 4.18 -17.37), sex with an HIV positive partner (OR: 5.75; 95 % CI: 1.69 -26.73), having had two or more heterosexual partners within the last 12 months (OR: 2.33; 95 % CI: 1.48-3.70) when reported by male donors were more associated with being HIV positive. On the other hand, female blood donors were more likely to be HIV positive when classified in the bisexual group (OR: 14.35; 95 % CI: 1.89-297.81), and when they reported behaviors such as tattooing (OR: 2.72; 95 % CI: 1.32-5.73) medical procedures 209

Sociodemographic characteristic	Control (n = 791)	Case (n = 716)	OR (95 % CI)	P-value
Gender				
Male	556 (70.3)	568 (79.3)	1.00	_
Female	235 (29.7)	148 (20.7)	0.62 (0.49; 0.78)	< 0.001
Age $(n = 1506)$				
18–25	189 (23.9)	176 (24.6)	1.00	_
26-30	130 (16.4)	150 (21.0)	1.24 (0.91; 1.69)	0.178
31–39	235 (29.7)	205 (28.7)	0.94 (0.71; 1.24)	0.645
40+	237 (30.0)	184 (25.7)	0.83 (0.63; 1.10)	0.205
Blood Donation Center	` '	` ,	, ,	
Hemope	194 (24.5)	264 (36.9)	1.00	_
Fundação Pró-Sangue	194 (24.5)	152 (21.2)	0.58 (0.43; 0.76)	< 0.001
Hemominas	195 (24.7)	100 (14.0)	0.38 (0.28; 0.51)	< 0.001
Hemorio	208 (26.3)	200 (27.9)	0.71 (0.54; 0.92)	0.011
Donation type	` '	` ,	, ,	
Community	362 (58.6)	371 (61.9)	1.00	_
Replacement	256 (41.4)	228 (38.1)	0.87 (0.69; 1.09)	0.231
Donor type (<i>n</i> = 1261)	` '	` ,	, ,	
First time	311 (48.7)	285 (45.8)	1.00	_
Repeat	328 (51.3)	337 (54.2)	1.12 (0.90; 1.40)	0.311
Education (n = 1502)	, ,	` ,	, ,	
< High School	206 (26.1)	225 (31.5)	1.30 (1.04; 1.63)	0.022
≥ High School	582 (73.9)	489 (68.5)	1.00	_
Marital status (n = 1506)	` ,	` ,		
Married	326 (41.3)	115 (16.1)	1.00	
Single, never married	298 (37.7)	381 (53.2)	3.62 (2.80; 4.72)	< 0.001
Living together, never married	119 (15.1)	149 (20.8)	3.55 (2.58; 4.91)	< 0.001
Separated/Divorced	47 (5.9)	72 (9.9)	4.28 (2.81; 6.59)	< 0.001
Widowed	, ,	, ,	, ,	
Sexual orientation (n = 1447)				
Heterosexual	713 (94.8)	417 (60.0)	1.00	_
Bisexual	8 (1.1)	127 (18.3)	27.14 (14.02; 60.90)	< 0.001
Homosexual	31 (4.1)	151 (21.7)	8.33 (5.63; 12.69)	< 0.001

within the last 12 months (OR: 4.11; 95 % CI: 2.15-8.12), a MSM sexual partner (OR: 5.06; 95 % CI: 1.17-27.31), sex with an HIV positive partner (OR: 88.6; 95 % CI: 15.58-1924.91) and having had two or more heterosexual partners within the last 12 months (OR: 6.38; 95 % CI: 2.66-16.71).

Discussion

220

222

223

225

226

228

229

This study shows that male donors are still more likely to be HIV positive, as demonstrated in other researches published in the same period,²¹ probably because the epidemiology of the states in the present study is predominantly clade B, which means a greater prevalence of sexual infection. The greater chance of being HIV positive found among blood donors from Recife probably is also related to the number of partners and other regional issues, such as disparity in the availability of resources, such as reduced access to prevention and health promotion. At the time of this study the number of sexual partners allowed for donor candidates was different at study sites: Hemominas, in Minas Gerais, allowed up to two partners, while Fundação Pró-Sangue in Sao Paulo and Hemope in Pernambuco allowed up to three partners. Previous studies have shown that there were differences in the deferral criteria between blood centers and this can have had a direct 231 impact on the chances of having an HIV positive donor. 17,22

Low education was also a factor associated with greater 233 chances of being HIV positive. Access to information and mass 234 education are fundamental components in strategies to reduce 235 the incidence and prevalence of HIV in populations. However, the interaction between sociocultural, political and economic 237 issues and its relation with social and sexual behavior cannot 238 be underestimated. The diversity of socio-epidemiological risk 239 exposure interferes in this process, differentiating individuals 240 in terms of their knowledge about HIV and their behavior.^{23–25} In the current sample, marital status was shown to be a protec- 242 tive factor when compared to unmarried donors, as in other 243 studies. 18,26 Theoretical epidemiological models on HIV/AIDS 244 transmission ignore marital status as a risk factor.²⁷ Marriage is 245 considered an aggravating or protective risk of infection 246 between people, with contradictory results in different 247 studies.^{28,29} According to a social psychology study, there is a 248 higher prevalence of HIV in MSM associated with a context of 249 exclusion and discrimination of these individuals, which 250 impacts access to health care.³⁰ When experiencing prejudice 251 in public health services, for example, MSM and transwomen 252 may begin to avoid such services, due to the expectation of dis- 253 crimination.³¹ The CDC performed a study³² emphasizing the 254

241

Please cite this article as: F.D.d.S. Gonçalves et al., Risk factors associated with HIV infection in four large Brazilian blood centers: A multicentric case-control study (2009–2017), Hematology, Transfusion and Cell Therapy (2025), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2025.103863

292

293

301

302

Characteristic	Control (n = 791)	Case (n = 716)	OR (95 % CI)	P-value
Potential job exposure, ever (n = 1503)	33 (4.2)	104 (14.6)	3.92 (2.65-5.98)	<0.001
Piercing, ever (n = 1504)	96 (12.1)	159 (22.3)	2.08 (1.58-2.75)	< 0.001
Tattoo, ever (n = 1504)	106 (13.4)	178 (25.0)	2.15 (1.65-2.81)	< 0.001
Acupuncture, ever $(n = 1503)$	46 (5.8)	47 (6.6)	1.14 (0.75-1.74)	0.537
Manicure or Shave in salon/barber shop, ever (n = 1504)	371 (46.9)	436 (61.2)	1.78 (1.45-2.19)	< 0.001
Medical procedures in the last 12 months ($n = 966$)	152 (31.9)	251 (51.2)	2.24 (1.73-2.91)	< 0.001
Surgery in the last 12 months ($n = 941$)	126 (27.3)	221 (46.1)	2.28 (1.74-3.00)	< 0.001
Endoscopy in the last 12 months (n = 296)	40 (27.4)	68 (45.3)	2.20 (1.36-3.59)	0.001
IVDU or sexual partner of IVDU, ever (n = 1506)	10 (1.3)	57 (8.0)	6.77 (3.58-14.17)	< 0.001
Blood Transfusion/Sex partner with blood transfusion, ever $(n = 1506)$	42 (5.3)	62 (8.7)	1.69 (1.13-2.56)	0.011
Inmate, ever $(n = 1504)$	6 (0.8)	17 (2.4)	3.19 (1.32-8.90)	0.015
Sex with inmate, ever $(n = 1474)$	13 (1.7)	50 (7.0)	4.35 (2.42-8.42)	< 0.001
MSM (n = 1123)	29 (5.2)	320 (56.4)	23.54 (15.90-36.12)	< 0.001
MSM, unprotected sex last 12 months ($n = 1051$)	22 (4.0)	239 (47.5)	21.64 (13.95-35.23)	< 0.001
Sexual partner of MSM, ever $(n = 1489)$	33 (4.3)	337 (47.1)	20.02 (13.92-29.72)	< 0.001
Sex with person with potential job exposure, ever $(n = 1474)$	41 (5.4)	80 (11.2)	2.23 (1.51-3.32)	< 0.001
Sex with HIV+ person, ever $(n = 1475)$	7 (0.9)	127 (17.8)	23.38 (11.67-55.58)	< 0.001
2 or more heterosexual partners, protected sex, last 12 months (n = 1452)	27 (3.6)	16 (2.3)	0.62 (0.32-1.15)	0.136
2 or more heterosexual partners, last 12 months (n = 1440)	127 (17.0)	153 (22.1)	1.39 (1.07-1.81)	0.013
Sex with sex worker, protected sex, last 12 months ($n = 1388$)	1 (0.1)	3 (0.4)	3.14 (0.40-63.61)	0.322
Sex with unknown person, protected sex, last 12 months (n = 1369)	7 (1.0)	11 (1.6)	1.65 (0.65-4.51)	0.303
Sex with sex worker/unknown or ≥ 2 heterosexual partners, unprotected sex, last 12 months ($n=1469$)	31 (4.1)	27 (3.8)	3.14 (0.40-63.61)	0.322

OR, odds ratio; 95 % CI, 95 % confidence interval; IVDU, intravenous drug use; MSM, men who have sex with men.

importance of annual testing for the MSM population and the importance of prevention programs to improve their strategies to reach the population at greatest risk, aiming to facilitate this population's access to testing and treatment services and, consequently, to provide more information and care thereby reducing the spread of HIV. When analyzing risk behaviors, the rate of infection through IVDU is probably related to the frequency of use, the sharing of needles and poor access to effective HIV control and prevention therapies.33

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

272

273

275

276

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

An unexpected finding was the greater chance of being HIV positive in donors with the habit of going for manicures or shaves in beauty salons or barber shops. There are several studies that support the risk of other blood-borne infections, such as hepatitis and syphilis, through unsafe tattooing practices, 34-36 although the evidence is less clear when it comes to HIV transmission. 36,37 Although this transmission pattern of HIV is not common or widely reported, a few studies report this pattern of increased risk for HIV transmission.³⁸ It is likely that there are confounding factors involved in these results, probably behavioral factors. More studies are needed to highlight the lack of knowledge on the factors involved in this pattern of HIV infection in people who perform these practices. The association of HIV in people who undergo aesthetic procedures may be associated with the popularity of these procedures, especially for young people. The risk in the prison population is also associated with HIV infection in the present study. These factors deserve more attention.³⁴

As to increased risk sexual behaviors, some widely reported in the literature are heterosexual sex with two or more partners within the last 12 months, being MSM, unprotected sex with an MSM partner, as well as sex with an HIV-

positive partner. The latter three have the highest ORs found 287 in the univariate and multivariate analysis for both males and females in the present study. 15-18,39,40 When the behavioral factors associated with HIV infection between men and women are compared, being bisexual or having MSM sexual partners are increased risk factors for both, with the magnitude of the ORs being greater in women.41

Almeida-Neto et al.⁸ reported on HIV risks in blood donors in Brazil with similar data, but their donors were recruited between 2009 and 2011. The present study evaluated data from donors recruited from 2009 to 2017. The current results do not differ substantially from previous data, showing a tendency towards the maintenance of increased risk behaviors already identified, but this study shows a significant relationship between HIV and women who reported being bisexual, which was not reported in the previous publication.

This study has limitations. One limitation is that only factors in the ACASI interview were evaluated. Therefore, it is possible that other risk factors could be attributed to greater exposure to HIV and were not identified in the present study, such as questions about the use of pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis, having had some sexually transmitted diseases, such as chlamydia or gonorrhea, or Early age at first intercourse. Another limitation is that the study was conducted from 2009 to 2017, and the profile of donors may have changed since then. Furthermore, it was a period prior to the recent change in the screening criteria of Brazilian blood centers that occurred in 2020, which censored questions about 314 the sexual orientation of donors in clinical screening. 42 These 315 changes may have an impact on the profile of donors and fur- 316 ther studies are needed to understand the new profile of 317 donors after this change.

Characteristic	OR	95 % CI	P-valu
DOWN GENERAL			
BOTH GENDERS Education			
	1.00		
≥ High School < High School	1.00	- (1.93–4.42)	- <0.001
< High School Marital status	2.91	(1.93–4.42)	<0.001
	1.00		
Married	1.00	— (4.00 F.40)	0.001
Living together, never married	3.20	(1.90–5.40)	<0.001
Single, never married	3.47	(2.23–5.48)	<0.001
Separated/Divorced	3.97	(2.26-8.47)	<0.001
Widowed			
Sexual orientation	1.00		
Heterosexual	1.00	- (4.07.5.70)	_
Homosexual	2.45	(1.07–5.73)	0.035
Bisexual	7.55	(2.60-27.60)	<0.001
Behavioral factors (ref. No)	<u>.</u> = .	(4.47. 0)	
Manicure or Shave in salon/barber shop, ever	1.71	(1.17–2.50)	0.005
Tattoo, ever	1.86	(1.18–2.93)	0.008
Medical procedures in the last 12 months	2.60	(1.81–3.76)	<0.001
2 or more heterosexual partners, last 12 months	2.64	(1.74–4.05)	<0.001
Potential job exposure, ever	3.14	(1.69–5.92)	<0.001
IVDU or sexual partner of IVDU, ever	5.75	(1.88–21.89)	0.004
Sexual partner of MSM, ever	11.78	(6.17–23.53)	<0.001
Sex with HIV+ person, ever	15.57	(5.78–54.62)	<0.001
MALE			
Sexual orientation			
Heterosexual	1.00	-	_
Homosexual	5.71	(2.13-17.13)	< 0.001
Bisexual	8.10	(2.47-36.66)	0.002
Behavioral factors (ref. No)			
Manicure or Shave in salon/barber shop, ever	1.65	(1.10-2.49)	0.016
Medical procedures in the last 12 months	1.78	(1.18-2.70)	0.006
Tattoo, ever	2.03	(1.18–3.52)	0.011
2 or more heterosexual partners, last 12 months	2.33	(1.48–3.70)	< 0.001
Potential job exposure, ever	2.81	(1.44–5.60)	0.003
MSM	8.30	(4.18–17.37)	< 0.001
IVDU or sexual partner of IVDU, ever	8.31	(2.19–54.71)	0.007
Sex with HIV+ person, ever	5.75	(1.69–26.73)	0.010
FEMALE			
Sexual orientation			
Heterosexual	1.00	_	_
Homosexual	0.07	(0.01-1.01)	0.094
Bisexual	14.35	(1.89–297.81)	0.023
Behavioral factors (ref. No)	11.55	(1.05 257.01)	0.023
Tattoo, ever	2.72	(1.32-5.73)	0.007
Medical procedures in the last 12 months	4.11	(2.15–8.12)	<0.007
Sexual partner of MSM, ever	5.06	(2.13-6.12)	0.039
2 or more heterosexual partners, last 12 months	6.38	(2.66–16.71)	<0.039 <0.001
Sex with HIV+ person, ever	88.60	(15.58–1924.91)	<0.001

OR, odds ratio; 95 % CI, 95 % confidence interval; IVDU, intravenous drug use; MSM, men who have sex with men.

Conclusion

After analyzing the findings of the present study, there are 320 factors that lead to an increased risk of acquiring HIV. Many have already been widely reported in the literature, but per-

sist over time, such as IVDU, behaviors related to sexual activ-

sexual partners. More studies are needed to better understand

ity, such as being bisexual (for men and women) and multiple

these increased risk factors in order to develop policies to 326 approach the most vulnerable populations.

This study shows that the donor profile has not changed 328 over the years, there is little variability related to risk factors. 329 In 2019 there were specific changes in the screening criteria in 330 Brazilian blood centers, such as the removal of restrictions on 331 blood donations by MSM. This fact, associated with the use of 332 pre- and post-exposure antiretroviral medications, can gener- 333 ate significant changes. Therefore, this pattern must be 334

327

Please cite this article as: F.D.d.S. Gonçalves et al., Risk factors associated with HIV infection in four large Brazilian blood centers: A multicentric case-control study (2009–2017), Hematology, Transfusion and Cell Therapy (2025), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2025.103863

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400 401

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

420

421

422

424

425

426

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

454

constantly monitored by new studies, especially due to the high transmissibility rate of the virus.

Uncited references

[43].

Conflicts of interest

340 None.

341

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359 360

361

362 363

Acknowledgments

- This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health 342
- (NIH) and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) [grant numbers N01-HB-47175, HHSN268201100007I]

REFERENCES

- 1. Amaral JHS. Hemotherapy: a challenge in the daily team of the 346 nursing team. Revista de Enfermagem UFPE on line. 2016;10 348 (6). Suplemento.
 - 2. Maria Sueli SNL, Anna Barbara FCP. HTLV-1/2 transfusional e hemovigilância: a contribuição dos estudos de look-back transfusion-transmitted HTLV-1/2 and hemovigilance: the contribution of look-back studies.
 - 3. Alberti LR. Influência da transfusão sanguínea no desenvolvimento de infecção em pacientes com neoplasias malignas do sistema digestório. Vasconcellos LdS, Petroianu A. 2006. edi-
 - 4. de Oliveira Garcia, Mateos S, Preiss L, Gonçalez TT, Di Lorenzo, Oliveira C, Grebe E, Di Germanio C, et al. 10-year analysis of human immunodeficiency virus incidence in first-time and repeat donors in Brazil. Vox Sang. 2021;116(2):207-16.
 - 5. an der Heiden M, Ritter S, Hamouda O, Offergeld R. Estimating the residual risk for HIV, HCV and HBV in different types of platelet concentrates in Germany. Vox Sang. 2015;108(2):123-30.
- 6. Pillonel J, Laperche S. Trends in risk of transfusion-transmit-364 365 ted viral infections (HIV, HCV, HBV) in France between 1992 366 and 2003 and impact of nucleic acid testing (NAT). Euro Sur-367 veill. 2005:10(2):5-8.
- 7. O'Brien SF, Yi QL, Fan W, Scalia V, Goldman M, Fearon MA. 368 369 Residual risk of HIV, HCV and HBV in Canada. Transfus Apher 370 Sci. 2017;56(3):389-91.
- 8. Nishiya AS, Salles NA, de Almeida-Neto C, Witkin SS, Ferreira 371 372 SC, Nogueira FAH, et al. Influence of unreported HIV prophylaxis on the kinetics of post-blood donation HIV seroconver-373 374 sion. Transfusion (Paris). 2021;61(12):3488-92.
- 375 9. Kupek E, Petry A. Changes in the prevalence, incidence and 376 residual risk for HIV and hepatitis C virus in southern Brazilian blood donors since the implementation of NAT screening. 377 378 Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop. 2014;47:418-25.
- 10. Santos APA, Trindade CLC, Silva AR, Melo SN, Oliveira MB. 379 Belo vs. taxa de incidência e risco residual de Infecção por 380 381 HIV, HBV e HCV em doadores de sangue no hemocentro do 382 estado de minas geraIS. Hematology, Transfusion and Cell Therapy. 2020;42:370. 383
- 11. Vieira PCM, Lamarão LM, Amaral CEM, Corrêa ASM, de Lima 384 385 MSM, Barile K, et al. Residual risk of transmission of human 386 immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus infections by

- blood transfusion in northern Brazil. Transfusion (Paris). 2017;57(8):1968-76.
- 12. de Corrêa ASM, Lamarão LM, Vieira PCM, de Castro RBH, de Almeida NCC, Castro JAA, et al. Prevalence, incidence and residual risk of transfusion-transmitted HBV infection before and after the implementation of HBV-NAT in northern Brazil. PLoS One. 2018;13(12):e0208414.
- 13. Nishiya A, Salles N, de Almeida-Neto C, Ferreira S, Nogueira F, Rocha V, et al. Detection of unreported usage of the antiretroviral drug lamivudine in two blood donors. Transfusion (Paris). 2023;63(11):2106-13.
- 14. Carrazzone CFV, AMd Brito, Gomes YM. Importância Da Avaliação Sorológica Pré-Transfusional Em Receptores De Sangue, 26. Revista Brasileira de Hematologia e Hemoterapia; 2004. p. 93-8.
- 15. Blatyta PF, Custer B, Gonçalez TT, Birch R, Lopes ME, MI Lopes Ferreira, et al. Undisclosed human immunodeficiency virus risk factors identified through a computer-based questionnaire program among blood donors in Brazil. Transfusion (Paris). 2013;53(11):2734-43.
- 16. de Almeida-Neto C, Goncalez TT, Birch RJ, de Carvalho SM, Capuani L, Leão SC, et al. Risk factors for human immunodeficiency virus infection among Brazilian blood donors: a multicentre case-control study using audio computer-assisted structured interviews. Vox Sang. 2013;105(2):91-9.
- 17. Patavino GM, de Almeida-Neto C, Liu J, Wright DJ, Mendrone-Junior A, Ferreira MI, et al. Number of recent sexual partners among blood donors in Brazil: associations with donor demographics, donation characteristics, and infectious disease markers. Transfusion (Paris). 2012;52(1):151-9.
- 18. Salomon T, Gomes I, Ozahata MC, Moreira CHV, Oliveira CDL, Gonçalez TT, et al. Social and behavioral characteristics of male blood donors and their sexual partners: an analysis to define risk subsets. Transfusion (Paris). 2019;59(8):2584-92.
- 19. Mathias AS, Gomes FK, Sgobetta CF, Ribeiro PM. Doação de sangue pela população LGBT+ no Brasil: direito concedido por Lei /blood donation by the LGBT+ population in Brazil: right granted by law. Brazilian Journal of Health Review. 2021;4 (3):12508-15.
- 20. Doll LS, Petersen LR, White CR, Ward JW. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected blood donors: behavioral characteristics and reasons for donation. The HIV Blood Donor Study Group. Transfusion (Paris). 1991;31(8):704.
- 21. Boily MC, Baggaley RF, Wang L, Masse B, White RG, Hayes RJ, et al. Heterosexual risk of HIV-1 infection per sexual act: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Lancet Infect Dis. 2009;9(2):118-29.
- 22. Gonçalez TT, Sabino EC, Schlumpf KS, Wright DJ, Mendrone A, Lopes M, et al. Analysis of donor deferral at three blood centers in Brazil. Transfusion (Paris). 2013;53(3):531-8.
- 23. Joint AIDS UNPoH. Sexual behavioural change for HIV: where have theories taken us? Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme On HIV/AIDS: 1999.
- 24. Wellings K, Collumbien M, Slaymaker E, Singh S, Hodges Z, Patel D, et al. Sexual behaviour in context: a global perspective. Lancet. 2006;368(9548):1706-28.
- 25. Mann JTD. AIDS in the World II: Global dimensions, Social roots, and Responses. New York: Oxford University Press; 1996.
- 26. Vu L, Andrinopoulos K, Mathews C, Chopra M, Kendall C, Eisele TP. Disclosure of HIV status to sex partners among HIVinfected men and women in Cape Town, South Africa. AIDS and Behavior. 2012;16(1):132-8.
- 27. Boerma JT, Weir SS. Integrating demographic and epidemiological approaches to research on HIV/AIDS: the proximatedeterminants Framework. J. Infect. Dis. 2005;191(Supplement 1):S61-S7.
- 28. Clark S. Early marriage and HIV risks in sub-Saharan Africa. 453 Stud Fam Plann. 2004;35(3):149-60.

- 29. Ramjee G., Daniels B. Women and HIV in Sub-Saharan Africa.
- 30. Martins de Azevedo F, Segundo D, Feijo M, Nardi H. Brandelli 456 Costa A. Atribuições de Causalidade pela infecção por HIV. 457 458 Estudos e Pesquisas em Psicologia. 2020;20:7511.
- 31. Costa AB, Fontanari AMV, Catelan RF, Schwarz K, Stucky JL, da 459 Rosa, Filho HT, et al. HIV-related healthcare needs and access 460 461 barriers for Brazilian transgender and gender diverse people. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(8):2534-42. 462
- 32. HIV prevalence, unrecognized infection, and HIV testing among 463 men who have sex with men-five U.S. cities, June 2004-April 464 465 2005. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2005;54(24):597-601.
- 33. Martin M, Vanichseni S, Sangkum U, Mock PA, Leethochawalit 466 467 M, Chiamwongpaet S, et al. HIV incidence and risk behaviours 468 of people who inject drugs in Bangkok, 1995-2012. EClinical-Medicine. 2019;9:44-51. 469
- 34. Braithwaite R, Robillard A, Woodring T, Stephens T, Arriola KJ. 470 471 Tattooing and body piercing among adolescent detainees: relationship to alcohol and other drug use. J Subst Abuse. 472 473 2001;13(1-2):5-16.
- 35. Messahel A, Musgrove B. Infective complications of tattooing 474 475 and skin piercing. J Infect Public Health. 2009;2(1):7-13.
- 476 Garland SM, Ung L, Vujovic OV, Said JM. Cosmetic tattooing: a potential transmission route for HIV? Aust N Z J Obstet Gynae-477 478 col. 2006;46(5):458-9.

37. Messahel A, Musgrove B. Infective complications of tattooing 479 and skin piercing. J Infect Public Health. 2009;2(1):7-13.

481

482

483

486

488

489

490

491

492

495

496

497

499

500

501

502

- 38. Quarm MD, Mthembu J, Zuma K, Tarkang EE. Knowledge, attitudes and prevention practices regarding HIV/AIDS among barbers in Ho municipality. Ghana. Sahara j. 2021;18(1):42-51.
- 39. O'Brien SF, Osmond L, Fan W, Yi QL, Goldman M. Impact of a 484 5-year deferral from blood donation for men who have sex 485 with men. Transfusion (Paris). 2016;56(6 Pt 2):1598-602.
- 40. Goldman M, W-Y Shih A, O'Brien SF, Devine D. Donor deferral 487 policies for men who have sex with men: past, present and future. Vox Sang. 2018;113(2):95-103.
- 41. Bailey JV, Farguhar C, Owen C, Whittaker D. Sexual behaviour of lesbians and bisexual women. Sex Transm Infect. 2003;79 (2):147.
- 42. BRASIL. SUPREMO TRIBUNAL FEDERAL. Proibição de doação 493 de sangue por homens homossexuais é inconstitucional, 494 decide STF [Available from http://portal.stf.jus.br/noticias/ver-NoticiaDetalhe.asp?idConteudo=443015&ori=1; 2020.
- 43. Chiesa P, Kniss RL, Md Silva, DTBd Lima, LMAe Castro. Profilaxia pré-exposição (PrEP) e as prevenções combinadas para redução da epidemia do HIV no Brasil: revisão integrativa /pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and combined preventions for reducing the HIV epidemic in Brazil: an integrative review. Brazilian Journal of Development. 2022;8(5):39075-88.