Objective: To compare with IPI the usefulness of new prognostic scores in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) from a single institution. Methodology: Sixty patients (30 male/30 female) with PTCL [anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALCL) 18, PTCL not otherwise specified 32 and other 10)]. International Prognostic Index (IPI), Modified Glasgow Prognostic Score (mGPS), Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI), The combined index of hemoglobin, albumin, lymphocyte, and platelet (HALP), Platelet to Lymphocyte Ratio (PLR), Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR), albumin/globulin ratio(A/G), Prognostic nutritional index(PNI) were calculated as in the original references. Results: mGPS,GNRI,HALP, PLR, NLR, A/G and PNI have not significance to predict overall survival in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma(Table-1). Conclusions: IPI is still superior from all prognostic scores (mGPS,GNRI,HALP,PLR,NLR,A/G and PNI) to predict overall

Variables in the Equation

	В	SE	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95,0% CI for Exp(B)	
							Lower	Upper
IPI	0,598	0,167	12,75	1	0	1,818	1,31	2,525
mGPS	0,001	0,244	0	1	0,996	1,001	0,621	1,614
GNRI	0,014	0,017	0,738	1	0,39	1,014	0,982	1,048
HALP	0,036	0,275	0,017	1	0,897	0,965	0,563	1,655
PLR	0	0,001	0,166	1	0,684	1	0,998	1,003
NLR	0,035	0,046	0,599	1	0,439	1,036	0,947	1,133
A/G	0,399	0,463	0,74	1	0,389	0,671	0,271	1,663
PNI	0,008	0,014	0,357	1	0,55	0,992	0,965	1,019

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2022.09.1248

MYELOMA

PP 14

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR NEWLY DIAGNOSED, FIT AND YOUNG PATIENTS WITH MULTIPLE MYELOMA, IN THE ERA OF NOVEL TREATMENT MODALITIES: ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONAL FACTORS TO BE UNDER CONSIDERATION?

Panayotis Kaloyannidis, Fatema Abdulla, Enas Mutahar, Haidar Hashim, Salman Harbi, Analie Estanislao, Solaf Ka

Adult Hematology & Stem Cell Transplantation Department, King Fahad Specialist Hospital

Objective: Multiple myeloma (MM) is considered a disease of elderlies however, 35-40% of newly diagnosed MM (NDMM) patients (pts) are ≤60 years (ys) old. Although young NDMM pts succeed better outcomes with the currently used treatment protocols, a considerable number of them (25-35%) succumb to MM, within 5 ys after diagnosis. We evaluated the

overall survival (OS) and the related risk factors, in NDMM pts aged ≤55 years and we designed a scoring system with predictive value on their long-term outcome. Methodology: Among 116 NDMM pts treated from 2010-20 in our center, 58 were ≤55 ys and 41% had advanced disease, 24% elevated LDH, 15% extramedullary disease (EMD) and 14% high-risk cytogenetic features. Following treatment with 3 (n=48) or 2 (n=10) agents of Velcade, Cyclophosphamide, Lenalidomide and DXM, 90% underwent autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT). Female gender, advance disease, EMD presence, elevated LDH and less than very good response pre-AHSCT, adversely affected the OS. Results: After a median follow up of 4 ys, the median OS was not reached however, approximately 25% of young NDMM patients died within 4 ys after diagnosis. Based on the aforementioned risk factors we created a risk scoring system which compared to the international staging system (ISS), sufficiently discriminated young NDMM patients who are at risk for poor outcome. The 4-year OS was superior for pts with 0-2 factors compared to those with 3-5 factors (86% vs. 44% respectively, p<0.001). Conclusion: Despite the current plethora of the available treatment agents, the heterogeneity in the outcomes among the NDMM pts, highlights the unmet need to establish appropriate criteria for personalized and more efficient treatment approaches, especially for the younger NDMM pts. In this study, we propose an easily applicable scoring system, which can discriminate younger NDMM pts who might need more intensive treatment aiming at prolonged survival rates.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2022.09.1249

PLATELET DISEASES

PP 15

LONG-TERM OUTCOMES OF PATIENTS
TREATED WITH CAPLACIZUMAB FOR
IMMUNE-MEDIATED THROMBOTIC
THROMBOCYTOPENIC PURPURA (ITTP): THE
POST-HERCULES STUDY

Özgür Pektaş ¹, Marie Scully ², Javier de la Rubia ³, Katerina Pavenski ⁴, Ara Metjian ⁵, Paul Knöbl ⁶, Flora Peyvandi ⁷, Spero Cataland ⁸, Paul Coppo ⁹, Johanna A. Kremer Hovinga ¹⁰, Jessica Minkue Mi Edou ¹¹, Rui de Passos Sousa ¹¹, Sriya Gunawardena ¹², Julie Lin ^{12,13}

 ² Gaziantep University Sahinbey Research and
 Practice Hospital Department of Hematology
 ³ Gaziantep University Department of Biostatistics

¹ Sanofi, Istanbul, Turkey

² Department of Haematology, University College London Hospitals, London, UK

³ Hematology Department, Internal Medicine, School of Medicine and Dentistry, Catholic University of Valencia and Hospital Doctor Peset, Valencia, Spain ⁴ Departments of Medicine and Laboratory

^{*} Departments of Medicine and Laboratory Medicine, St. Michael's Hospital and University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada