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Leukocyte reduction filters as an alternative source of peripheral blood leukocytes for research
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Peripheral blood leukocytes are a suitable cell model for science research. However, blood samples from healthy volunteers are limited in volume and difficult to obtain due to the complexity of volunteer recruitment.

Objective: Therefore, it is urgent to find an alternative source of peripheral blood leukocytes.

Method: One of the possibilities is the use of leukocyte reduction filters (LRFs) in blood banks that is used for preparation of leukoreduced blood products. More than 90% of the leukocytes are trapped in the leukofilters allowing the desired blood product to pass through.

Results: It has been reported that the biological function of leukocytes collected from the filters are no different from those isolated from buffy coats, leukapheresis products and whole blood (WB) cells. Moreover, LRFs are waste products that are discarded after leukoreduction.

Conclusion: Thus, leukofilters represent an economic source of human cell populations that can be used for a variety of investigative purposes, with no cost. In the present study, we reviewed the different usage of LRFs in the research, clinical and commercial applications. © 2020 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Leukoreduction is the removal of leukocytes from blood components. Each leukoreduced product had residual leukocyte counts of less than 5 × 10⁶ cells per unit.¹ At present, leukoreduction is performed using Leukocyte Reduction Filters (LRFs) that trap leukocytes, while allowing the desired blood product to pass through.² It has been demonstrated that leukoreduction can reduce adverse transfusion reactions such as febrile non-hemolytic transfusion reactions (FNHTR), alloimmunization against human leukocyte antigens (HLA) and human platelet antigens (HPA), which may cause refractoriness to platelet transfusion, and transmission of infectious diseases.³⁻⁵ In addition, leukoreduction can prevent the release of leukocyte metabolites, such as cytokines and histamine. These metabolites contribute to adverse reactions and increase the percentage of hemolysis in red blood cell (RBC) products.⁶ There are different commercial leukofilt-
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Fig. 1 – Overview of the new application of Leukocyte Reduction Filters (LRFs). Discarded LRFs are an economic source of viable functional cells and bioactive molecules that can play a role in basic research, animal models, cell therapy and tissue engineering.


Leukocytes, such as the Leukoflex LST-1 (MacoPharma), Leukoflex LCR5 (MacoPharma), Leukotrap WBF-3 (Pall Medical) Com- poflex T3908 (Fresenius Hemocare), Optipure RZ 2000 (Baxter) and TACSI system (Terumo BCT). Leukoreduction via filtration is based on both barrier retention and adsorption of cells onto the membrane. Although commercially available LRFs vary in physical characteristics, all of them can trap leukocytes in their matrix using gravity flow. The LRF material is comprised of a novel polymer fiber with a membrane-like structure, including porous foam material or non-woven fibers or woven mesh-shaped fibers. Due to the different pore size of the polymer fiber, leukocytes are filtered by mechanical block and cell adsorption. Concerning the mechanism of leukocyte removal, it has been proposed that the most important mechanism is the adhesion of leukocytes to the filtration material. The efficiency of filtration is dependent on different factors, such as the area of contact of the blood cells with the filtration material, the overall thickness of the material and the diameter of the fiber. Other factors are charge and hydrophilicity. Previous studies have been introduced LRFs as an alternative source of viable and functional human cells. Traditionally, the most common source of human cells for laboratory usage has been the buffy coats. However, blood samples from healthy volunteers are limited in volume and difficult to obtain due to the complexity of volunteer recruitment. There are several advantages in using leukofilters. The first advantage is that it is easy to obtain LRFs from blood banks without the need to perform phlebotomy, as this had already been performed at the time of blood donation.

Another benefit stems from the attainment of informed consent from blood donors, therefore making it possible to use blood cells for research without ethical barriers. A further advantage is the safety in obtaining cells due to the pre-screening of blood donors for viral infections. Indeed, the whole process, from the donor selection to the delivery of the LRFs to the researchers, is controlled by the blood bank. These filters are discarded after use and, being waste products, represent an available source of human cell populations that can be used for a variety of investigative purposes with no cost (Fig. 1). In the present study, we reviewed different usages of LRFs as an economic source of cells in research, clinical and commercial applications.

**LRFs as a new source for research**

Leukocytes are used for a variety of research purposes. In recent years, the demand for primary human cells has been increased. The gold standard to obtain these cells is leukapheresis. However, the use of buffy coats or leukapheresis products for research purposes is highly expensive and is not available at every blood bank. Several groups have examined the phenotype and function of immune cells isolated from LRFs, compared to standard buffy coats. They observed that the percentages of T cells, B cells, monocytes and dendritic cells (DCs) were largely similar between peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) isolated from LRFs and buffy coats.

The number of cells recovered from different LRFs is dependent on the volume of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) used to flush back them from the filter. In a study by Izquierdo et al., blood bags from healthy donors were less than 24 h old when processed through an LRF by gravity flow at room temperature (22 °C). Cells were recovered from filters at high numbers (about 5 × 10⁸ PBMCs), with a viability >95%, and the phenotypic and functional experiments confirmed that they were similar to those cells obtained from buffy coats. In a study by Peytour et al., discarded LRFs were processed through a rapid (<5 h) and easy 4-step procedure (WBC elution, platelet depletion, MNC separation and CD34+ cell selection) less than 24 h after blood donation. They obtained 0.4 ± 0.05 × 10⁵ highly enriched CD34+ cells per LRF. The functional properties, such as cell cycle status, growth rate and myeloid differentiation in liquid culture, were rather similar to steady state peripheral blood (SSPB) and umbilical cord blood (CB) CD34+ cells. Ebner et al., conducted a study to isolate CD14+ precursors from LRFs to generate DCs and compared them with isolated cells from buffy coats. They revealed that the yield of PBMCs obtained from LRFs is higher than that from buffy coats. The generated DCs had short culture and maturation periods, similar to the DCs obtained from the leukapheresis procedure. Thus, they concluded that LRFs have the potential to become a standard procedure for preparation of large quantities of DCs. Weidinger et al., showed that PBMCs obtained from LRFs have a lower fraction of apoptotic and necrotic cells than PBMCs from leukapheresis. Furthermore, in a study by Pfeiffer et al., PBMCs and especially high-quality monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDCs) were generated from LRFs, comparable to those derived from leukapheresis products or whole blood. Néron et al., demonstrated that cells recovered from WBF2 filters represent a good source of viable PBMCs because each unit can provide 2 × 10⁸ to 3 × 10⁹ PBMCs that can be used to prepare cryopreserved samples for phenotypic and functional investigations. Recently, we performed a study on the isolation of cells from Leukoflex LST-1 filters and approximately, 4.4 × 10⁶ leukocytes (mononuclear cells + granulocytes) were obtained from each filter. Teleron et al., designed a study to evaluate the capacity of LRFs as a source of peripheral blood-derived endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs). They displayed that the mean yield of EPCs from one LRF is 14-fold higher than that from fresh blood, probably due to the greater numbers of PBMCs obtained from each LRF. Accordingly, they concluded that LRFs can provide a safe and cheap source of EPCs.

Another application of LRFs is using them in genetic analysis. Cook et al., reported that the LRF is a source of large quantities of DNA for the study of genetic variations in human populations. A mean of 1520 μg (ranging from 450 to 3750 μg) of DNA per sample was obtained. Also, they indicated that the LRF-recovered DNA remains suitable for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing methods after 2 years of storage at −40 °C to 4 °C. They concluded that this procedure allows for the attainment of sufficient quantities of genomic DNA for many experiments. However, these genetic experiments require the specific consent of the donor and ethical approval.

LRFs as a new source for cell therapy

Peytour et al., introduced a procedure to obtain 0.4 ± 0.05 × 10⁵ highly enriched CD34+ cells per LRF. They showed that CD34+ cells trapped in filters are functionally similar to those harvested from other sources, such as bone marrow (BM), cord blood or peripheral blood. Therefore, LRFs can be an alternative source of stem cells for hematopoietic transplantation. Ivanovic et al., conducted a study to investigate the viability and the functional properties of mononuclear cells (MNCs) and CD34+ cells recovered from LRFs. The results displayed that the functionality of LRF-recovered CD34+ cells was well preserved with a significant ability of ex vivo expansion. They also confirmed the ability of these cells to differentiate into erythroid, megakaryocytic, and myelomonocytic lineages, upon the addition of certain cytokines in their liquid cultures. The problem of the relatively low amount of CD34+ cells in each LRF can be resolved by pooling cells recovered from several LRFs utilized for a continuous donor. Hence, LRF-recovered CD34+ cells can be applied to clinical procedures to generate mature ex vivo cells. Some studies disclosed that peripheral blood MNCs can differentiate into the CD34+ progenitors and they may differentiate into the various non-hematopoietic cell lineages. Therefore, it is possible that LRF-recovered MNCs become a main source of cells for cell-based regenerative therapy in future. In addition, it is suggested that LRF CD34+ cells are good candidates for cell/tissue engineering, such as cardiac cell therapy, and the attainment of pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs).

The PBMCs are frequently used for the DC culture in adoptive immunotherapies. Today the gold standard to obtain monocytes for DC therapies is leukapheresis. In a study by Izquierdo et al., monocytes recovered from WBF2 filters differentiated into in vitro DCs. The main feature of these cells is the capacity to stimulate naive T cells and initiate primary immune responses. This characteristic has led to the use of DCs in cancer immunotherapy.

LRFs as a new source for drug production

Human α-defensins, also called human neutrophil peptides 1–3 (HNP 1–3), are cationic antimicrobial peptides with three disulfide bridges. These peptides are effector molecules of the innate immunity. They also show chemotactic activity for monocytes and T cells. Defensins are mainly produced by neutrophils, but their production by other leukocytes, such as NK cells, B cells, T cells, monocytes and macrophages, has been reported. Previous studies have also demonstrated that defensins display antitumor activity. Synthesis of α-defensins using chemical and recombinant approaches is challenging due to the essential role of the disulfide bonds for its function. Recently LRFs have been introduced as a major production source for natural defensins. We performed a study at the Iranian Blood Transfusion Organization (IBTO) and found that α-defensins purified from neutrophils trapped in LST-1 filters have antitumor activity that can be used for clinical applications in the future. Vossier L et al. have also found that human defensins extracted from LRFs have high
antimicrobial activity with minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values between 0.12 and 1 μg/mL. In addition, other polypeptides with antimicrobial activity have been found in neutrophils, such as calprotectin and LL-37,\(^5\) that can be extracted from the neutrophils trapped in leukofilters.

**LRFs as an alternative to animal models**

The preclinical studies for drug screening involve the use of animals, which is expensive and leads to the suffering of these animals. A number of alternative systems have been developed for use in research, such as tissue culture, stem cells, microbiological systems, DNA chips and computer analysis models. The benefits of these alternatives include the decrease in the number of animals used, reduction in the costs and control of the variables of the experiment.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^2\) In addition, it is reported that mouse models may poorly mimic human disorders, such as inflammatory disease.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\) The LRFs can be used as a culture medium with a valuable source of primary human cells. Therefore, it can be used as an alternative to animal models.

**Conclusion**

Until recently, only a little attention has been paid to the discarding cells present in leukofilters. A variety of viable and functional leukocytes are recoverable from blood filters. These filters are easy to obtain from blood transfusion centers, without the need to perform venipuncture for experimental purposes. This entails simpler handling, when compared with whole blood or leukapheresis, and generates a high yield of functional active cells. Hence, for economic, ethical and practical reasons LRFs represent a major alternative to human cells. This article provides an overview of the applications of LRFs. Moreover, filters can be used for other applications, such as the induction of cytokine release from cultured human leukocytes.
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