



## Erratum

# Erratum to “Frequencies of polymorphisms of Rh, Kell, Kidd, Duffy and Diego systems of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil” [Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter. 2016;38(3):199–205]



**Daiane Cobianchi Costa<sup>a</sup>, Alessandra Arruda Schinaider<sup>a</sup>, Thais Mattos Santos<sup>a</sup>, Everaldo José Schörner<sup>b</sup>, Daniel Simon<sup>c</sup>, Sharbel Weidner Maluf<sup>a</sup>, Ana Carolina Rabello de Moraes<sup>a</sup> Maria Claudia Silva Silva<sup>a,\*</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Hemocentro de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, RS, Brazil

Please consider the following correction:

## Results

Page 201 – “Statistical differences were observed in the comparison with Brazilian populations. The genotypes RHCE\*Ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, FY\*01/FY\*01, JK\*01/JK\*01, and JK\*02/02 were more prevalent in the population from the state of Santa Catarina in comparison with the state of São Paulo. In addition, RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*cc, RHCE\*ee, FY\*01/FY\*02, FY\*01/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02/FY\*02, FY\*02/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02.N01/FY\*02.N01, and JK\*01/JK\*02 genotypes were more common in the population from the state of São Paulo” **should read as** “Statistical differences were observed in the comparison with Brazilian populations. The genotypes RHCE\*Ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, FY\*01/FY\*02, JK\*01/JK\*01, and JK\*02/02 were more prevalent in the population from the state of Santa Catarina in comparison with the state of São Paulo. In addition, RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*cc, RHCE\*ee, FY\*01/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02/FY\*02, FY\*02/FY\*02.N01, FY\*02.N01/FY\*02.N01, and JK\*01/JK\*02 genotypes were more common in the population from the state of São Paulo.”

Page 201 – “When the data were compared with European populations, only the genotypes RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*EE, RHCE\*ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*01.1, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, KEL\*02/KEL\*02 JK\*01/JK\*01, and DI\*01/DI\*01 did not differ statistically (Table 4)” **should read as** “When the data were compared with European populations, only the genotypes RHCE\*Cc, RHCE\*EE, RHCE\*ee, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*01.1, KEL\*01.1/KEL\*02, KEL\*02/KEL\*02, FY\*01/FY\*01, JK\*01/JK\*01, and DI\*01/DI\*01 did not differ statistically (Table 4)”.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjhh.2016.04.005>.

\* Corresponding author at: Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Pós-Graduação em Farmácia, Campus Trindade, 88040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil.

E-mail address: [maria.claudia.silva@ufsc.br](mailto:maria.claudia.silva@ufsc.br) (M.C. Silva).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjhh.2016.09.001>

1516-8484/© 2016 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

**Tables**

Table 1 should read as:

System	Genotype	Frequency (n(%))	
		373 donors	31 patients
Duffy	FY*01/FY*01	57 (15.3)	3 (9.7)
	FY*01/FY*02	176 (47.2)	11 (35.5)

Table 2 should read as:

Genotype	JOA N33
<b>Rh System</b>	
RHCE*Ee	0.12 (4)
RHCE*ee	0.82 (27)
RHCE*E	0.12
RHCE*e	0.88

Table 3 should read as:

Genotype	SC N373	PR N400	SP-POP1 N948	SP-POP2 N250	SP-POP3 N308
<b>Duffy System</b>					
FY*01/FY*01	0.15 (57)	0.12 (50)	0.12 (114)	0.14 (34)	0.12 (36)
FY*01/FY*02	0.47 (176)	0.40 (157)*	0.45 (426)	0.27 (68)*	0.34 (105)*

Table 4 should read as:

Genotype	SC N373	Austria <sup>27</sup> N200	Naples <sup>28</sup> N225	Zurich <sup>29</sup> N4000
<b>Duffy System</b>				
FY*01/FY*01	0.15 (57)	0.20 (40)	0.18 (40)	0.18 (703)
FY*01/FY*02	0.47 (176)	0.36 (72)*	0.43 (98)	0.47 (1862)