


**Scientific Comment**

## Use of biomarkers in the management of febrile neutropenia episodes in children with cancer<sup>☆</sup>


**Benigna Maria de Oliveira\***
*Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil*
**ARTICLE INFO**
**Article history:**

Available online 30 December 2015

Although the diagnosis and treatment of febrile neutropenia episodes have improved significantly over the past two decades, sepsis is still a major cause of mortality and morbidity in patients undergoing chemotherapy regimens that cause intensive myelosuppression.<sup>1-4</sup> Even in institutions where early initiation of antibiotic therapy and advances in supportive measures have significantly reduced the mortality associated with infections, there is great concern about the morbidity related to these complications.<sup>5</sup> Other important aspects to be considered are the high costs associated with treatment and impaired quality of life of the patients and their family, attributable to the need for prolonged or repeated hospitalizations.<sup>6</sup>

Therefore, it is extremely important to develop risk-stratification models that can predict the following conditions early, that is, at admission or within 48 h of evaluation: (1) children at low risk for developing severe infections who can have a reduced intensity of antibiotic therapy and/or a shorter hospital stay; and (2) children at high risk of developing complications and death who need more aggressive therapeutic measures.<sup>3,7</sup>

Although their use is well established in adult patients, there is still no consensus on scores and strategies for predicting the risk for infection and complications in children with cancer who present with febrile neutropenia.<sup>7</sup> The use

of reliable and reproducible scores would allow the identification of patients who could benefit from the de-escalation of intravenous antibiotic therapy to oral administration, would help to identify the best time for this change in procedure, and would also contribute to safe decision-making about the place of treatment – hospital or home.<sup>3,7</sup>

The results of research on these predictive models reinforce the importance of developing instruments designed for the pediatric population, including parameters that can be easily measured. However, there is great variability in the methods adopted for assessments, and they are often based only on clinical data, without sufficient discriminatory power.<sup>3,7,8</sup> The elements used in the composition of these scores include patient-related factors (such as age, type of cancer, and status of the underlying disease), parameters referring to the treatment followed, the presence of clinical complications (hemodynamic or respiratory instability, high fever) and laboratory findings suggestive of myelosuppression.<sup>7,8</sup>

In order to move forward and define parameters for these predictive models, serum biomarker studies seem to become more important.<sup>6,7</sup> The biomarkers that are most frequently evaluated and appear to have a greater discriminatory power are the following: C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), interleukin 6, and interleukin 8.<sup>6</sup>

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjhh.2015.08.011>.

\* See paper by Barbosa et al. in Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter. 2015;37(6):395–9.

\* Corresponding author at: Departamento de Pediatria, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Av. Alfredo Balena, 190, sala 267, 30130-100 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

E-mail address: [benigna@uol.com.br](mailto:benigna@uol.com.br)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjhh.2015.10.006>

1516-8484/© 2015 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

In a systematic review and meta-analysis carried out by Hauesler et al., a marked heterogeneity was found among the 37 studies selected with regard to the diagnosis of the patients included (hematologic malignancies or solid tumors), the definition of febrile neutropenia, and the outcomes evaluated (severe sepsis, severe inflammatory response syndrome, or admission to an intensive care unit). The findings of this study suggest a greater discrimination power of PCT when compared to CRP. However, these authors emphasized that the heterogeneity of the studies complicates comparisons and prevented them from reaching definitive conclusions, which limits the clinical application of the results.<sup>6</sup>

Barbosa et al. conducted a study to determine whether the levels of CD64, a surface marker expressed by activated neutrophils, could be used as a predictor of positive cultures in children with febrile neutropenia episodes. Although this biomarker has been considered promising in studies conducted with adults and newborns, the results obtained by the Brazilian researchers did not identify CD64 as a biomarker that can be used to identify febrile neutropenia patients with a high risk for developing severe infections. The limitations of this study are similar to those previously described in the literature, and, as highlighted by the researchers, it is important to conduct a complementary investigation before reaching definitive conclusions.<sup>9</sup>

It is clear that many questions need to be answered before reaching a consensus on the clinical relevance of biomarkers in the management of children with febrile neutropenia, either to guide immediate measures or to assist in the monitoring and evaluation of the response to the treatment. Despite promising evidence, there is still the need for consistent and reproducible studies to validate the use of these tests and demonstrate that they can be complementary to evaluations based on traditional clinical criteria.<sup>6,9</sup>

## Conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Lehrnbecher T, Phillips R, Alexander S, Alvaro F, Carlesse F, Fisher B, et al. Guideline for the management of fever and neutropenia in children with cancer and/or undergoing hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(35):4427-38.
- Vedi A, Pennington V, O'Meara M, Stark K, Senner A, Hunstead P, et al. Management of fever and neutropenia in children with cancer. *Support Care Cancer.* 2015;23(7):2079-87.
- Santolaya ME, Alvarez AM, Avilés CL, Becker A, Venegas M, O'Ryan M, et al. Prospective validation of a risk prediction model for severe sepsis in children with cancer and high-risk febrile neutropenia. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(12):1318-23.
- Orme LM, Babl FE, Barnes C, Barnett P, Donath S, Ashley DM. Outpatient versus inpatient IV antibiotic management for pediatric oncology patients with low risk febrile neutropenia: a randomised trial. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2014;61(8):1427-33.
- Salstrom JL, Coughlin RL, Pool K, Bojan M, Mediavilla C, Schwent W, et al. Pediatric patients who receive antibiotics for fever and neutropenia in less than 60 min have decreased intensive care needs. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2015;62(5):807-15.
- Haeusler GM, Carlesse F, Phillips RS. An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of the predictive value of serum biomarkers in the assessment of fever during neutropenia in children with cancer. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):e390-6.
- Phillips RS, Wade R, Lehrnbecher T, Stewart LA, Sutton AJ. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the value of initial biomarkers in predicting adverse outcome in febrile neutropenic episodes in children and young people with cancer. *BMC Med.* 2012;10:6.
- Macher E, Dubos F, Garnier N, Delebarre M, De Berranger E, Thebaud E, et al. Predicting the risk of severe bacterial infection in children with chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia. *Ped Blood Cancer.* 2010;55(4):662-7.
- Barbosa GG, Farias MG, Ludwig HC, Stensmann I, Fernandes MV, Michalowski MB, et al. Could CD64 expression be used as a predictor of positive culture results in children with febrile neutropenia? *Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter.* 2015;37(6):395-9.