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Genetic evaluation of mesenchymal stem cells by G-banded karyotyping in a Cell Technology Center
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Abstract

Objective: To present the initial results of first three years of implementation of a genetic evaluation test for bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in a Cell Technology Center.

Methods: A retrospective study was carried out of 21 candidates for cell therapy. After the isolation of bone marrow mononuclear cells by density gradient, mesenchymal stem cells were cultivated and expanded at least until the second passage. Cytogenetic analyses were performed before and after cell expansion (62 samples) using G-banded karyotyping.

Results: All the samples analyzed, before and after cell expansion, had normal karyotypes, showing no clonal chromosomal changes. Signs of chromosomal instability were observed in 11 out of 21 patients (52%). From a total of 910 analyzed metaphases, five chromatid gaps, six chromatid breaks and 14 tetraploid cells were detected giving as total of 25 metaphases with chromosome damage (2.75%).

Conclusion: The absence of clonal chromosomal aberrations in our results for G-banded karyotyping shows the maintenance of chromosomal stability of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells until the second passage; however, signs of chromosomal instability such as chromatid gaps, chromosome breaks and tetraploidy indicate that the long-term cultivation of these cells can provide an intermediate step for tumorigenesis.

© 2014 Associação Brasileira de Hematologia, Hemoterapia e Terapia Celular. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Cultivated stem cells (SC) have shown great potential for use in several areas of cell therapy, requiring, for their applicability, strict quality control, safety and traceability. However, ex vivo expansion of these cells can lead to an accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations, featuring a genetic instability that may explain, at least in part, their tumorigenic potential.1,2

Differently from embryonic SC, where there have been several reports of genetic abnormalities and tumor formation in mice,3–6 adult human SC, especially mesenchymal...
SC (MSC), seem to maintain their genetic stability during
cultivation, and therefore would not be susceptible to malig-
nant transformation. However, the observation that all cultivated cells develop
chromosomal aberrations over time, even those that are not SC, suggests that these cells, even adult cells, may
have a potential tumorigenic transformation after in vitro expansion.

Wang et al. developed two hypotheses for the presence of
transformed MSCs in a culture: a population of MSCs that
have undergone transformation during cell culture, or the
presence of low frequencies of abnormal cells in the donor’s
bone marrow (BM) that have expanded during the culture. These explanations could also be extended to MSC from other
sources because, in essence, the main fact is that cultivation
can create a favorable environment for transformed cells to
expand and propagate in vitro.

The evaluation of the propensity for malignant transfor-
mation can and should be addressed by cytogenetic studies,
especially karyotyping, since the maintenance of a normal
karyotype is a reliable indicator of genetic stability of MSCs and
must be considered as a release criterion for the clinical
use of these cells.

Although technical difficulties encourage researchers to
complement their studies with techniques of molecular
cytogenetics, conventional cytogenetics (karyotyping through
G-banding) is the most informative and considered the gold
standard in the genetic evaluation of cell lines, allowing the
identification of both numerical and structural chromosomal
abnormalities.

In Brazil, eight Cell Technology Centers (CTCs) were created
in 2008 with support from the Ministry of Health, contributing
to the development of these lines of research, ensuring quality
and safety in new technologies related to cell therapy.

There is a widely reported concern in the literature, that in
vitro cultivation of SC may be a risk factor for tumor forma-
tion. Thus, the Brazilian National Health Surveillance Agency
(ANVISA), through a decree governing the operation of CTCs
(RDC09/2011), requires the implementation of genetic control
as a release criterion for the use of these cells.

The aim of this study was to describe how the genetic
evaluation test by G-banded karyotyping is performed at the Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná (PUCPR). This type
2 CTC is authorized to culture and expand SC with its sanitary
permit being granted on May 17th, 2012. In addition, the initial
results of the first three years of implementation of this test
for BM-derived MSC are presented.

Methods

Patients and samples

A retrospective study was carried out of 21 candidates for cell
therapy selected between December 2009 and December 2012.
All patients enrolled in this study provided signed informed
consent. This study was approved by national and local Ethics
Committees (CONEP 03780084000-09, CEP 0005710/22 and
CONEP n197205, CEP 1370, 1371) and followed the criteria
of the Helsinki convention. BM samples and the respective
MSCs were cultivated and evaluated at the CTC-PUCPR.

Culture of MSCs

After the isolation of BM mononuclear cells by density gradi-
ent and loading onto 1.077 g/mL Histopaque® (Sigma–Aldrich),
MSCs were cultivated in Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium
(IMDM – Gibco™) supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum
(FBS – Gibco™) in a humidified incubator with 5% carbon
dioxide at 37 °C. When the MSCs reached approximately 80%
of confluence, they were dissociated using 0.25% trypsin-
ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA – Sigma–Aldrich) and
continually expanded at least until the second passage (P2).
Samples were taken for cytogenetic studies, immunopheno-
notyping and osteogenic, adipogenic and chondrogenic
differentiation assays, as recommended by the Interna-
tional Society for Cellular Therapy.

G-banded karyotyping protocol

Cyto genetic analyses were performed before and after cell
expansion. Standard cytogenetic procedures were used in the
cytogenetic analysis of BM samples. To evaluate the MSC, a
literature-based protocol for fibroblasts was developed and SC from many
sources was developed in the CTC with modifications as
described below. When the culture reached a confluence of
80%, Colcemid (10 mg/mL) was added to each flask to a final
dilution of 0.1 µg/mL and then incubated at 37 °C for 2–6 h.
Changes in cell morphology were monitored using an inverted
microscope. The MSCs were detached using 2 mL of heated
0.25% trypsin-EDTA. After 3 min of monitored detachment,
two drops of FBS were added and the cells were transferred
to a centrifuge tube containing the medium. Samples were
centrifuged at 400 × g for 10 min. For the hypotonic treatment,
5 mL of 0.075 M KCl with Hepes was slowly and carefully added
followed by incubation at 37 °C for 30 min and fixation using methanol:acetic acid (3:1) solution. To improve the quality of
metaphases, the samples were washed twice in a cold solution
(5 °C) of fresh methanol:acetic acid (2:1). Prior to slide prepara-
tion, clean slides were placed in steam while the cells were
resuspended in fresh fixative solution and dropped onto the
surface of the slide. In order to obtain G-bands, the slides were
aged at 60 °C for at least 16 h. Then, they were immersed
in trypsin solution (0.002 g/mL) for 5 s, washed in saline solu-
tion and finally quickly rinsed in distilled water. The staining
procedure was carried out using Giemsa (1:20) or Wright (1:6)
solution, producing trypsin and Giemsa (GTG) or trypsin and
Wright (GTW) bands, respectively. The band quality was eval-
uated under the microscope (magnification: 100×) and the
trypsin and staining times were adjusted to produce clear well
stained bands.

Analysis and interpretation

Whenever possible, 20 metaphases were analyzed. To be con-
sidered a clone, the same structural alteration or the gain
of a particular chromosome had to be present in at least two
different metaphase cells, while the loss of a chromo-
some should be detected in at least three cells. In the
Table 1 – Cytogenetic results detected in bone marrow cells (BM) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Before cell expansion (BM)</th>
<th>After cell expansion (MSC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karyotype</td>
<td>Chromosomal instability signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XX [20]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>46,XY [35]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46,XY [20]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46,XY [12]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46,XY;inv(9)(p12q13) [15]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46,XY [20]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>46,XY [20]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>46,XX [8]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>46,XY [18]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>46,XY [30]</td>
<td>chtb (9q) [1], chtg [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>46,XY [20]</td>
<td>4n [1], chtb (3q)[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>46,XX [20]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>46,XX [20]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>46,XY [20]</td>
<td>chtb [1], chtg [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>46,XX [20]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total metaphases</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

chtg: chromatid gap; chtb: chromatid break; 4n: tetraploid cell.

Results

A total of 21 patients with a mean age 53 ± 9.25 years were evaluated, of which 16 were male. The total number of samples was 62, with 21 being BM samples and 41 MSC cultivated until the second passage and, in some cases, until the fourth passage (P4) (Table 1).

Metaphases were successfully obtained in all cases and all were identified as normal diploid karyotypes, although many metaphases presented monosomy (non-clonal) in different chromosomes.

The samples analyzed before cell expansion, with BM cells, had a normal karyotype, showing no clonal chromosomal changes. One case showed inv(9)(p12q13), considered a normal variation in the population. All samples had cells with random chromosome losses.

Samples analyzed after cell expansion maintained normal karyotypes without the appearance of acquired clonal chromosomal abnormalities (Figure 1). All samples had cells with random chromosome losses.

Signs of chromosomal instability were observed in 11 (52%) out of 21 patients. From a total of 910 analyzed metaphases, five chromatid gaps, six chromatid breaks (Figure 2) and 14 tetraploid cells were detected giving a total of 25 metaphases with chromosome damage (2.75%).

A small fraction of these cells showed diplochromosomes (Figure 3).

No clonal chromosomal rearrangements were detected. All the samples were approved by the cytogenetic quality control for autologous therapeutic use.

Discussion

Standardization for stem cell studies

The presence of aneuploid cells with non-clonal chromosomal losses should be related to the adjustment of hypotonic solution exposure: short hypotonic exposure may not be sufficient for a good dispersion of metaphasic chromosomes, resulting in many overlapping chromosomes, whereas overexposure can weaken the plasma membrane, eventually resulting in disruption and loss of some chromosomes. The hypotonic treatment, therefore, is critical for the karyotyping test, and its standardization is crucial to correctly interpret results. In arresting mitotic division, unlike BM cells or peripheral blood (stimulated), cultures of adherent cells require longer periods of colchicine to compensate for the slower growth rate. However, there is a dose-dependent effect that may change the quality of chromosomes, such as their length, shape and distribution of chromatids. In the current study, less than 1 h of mitotic inhibition was not sufficient to deliver a reasonable number of metaphases, while more than 6 h affected the quality of chromosomes. In order to optimize metaphase quality, MSCs were obtained using a 3:1 methanol:acetic acid solution, followed by additional washes with higher concentrations of...
acetic acid (2:1). For G-band staining (GTG or GTW), a higher than usual trypsin concentration was required, which should be of at least 0.002 g/mL, with an exposure time ranging from 5 to 20 s, depending on the situation and conditions during the preparation of the slides.

**Chromosomal instability and tumorigenic potential**

The high frequency of chromosomal alterations may be a prerequisite for an oncogenic process that involves multiple genetic steps, such as the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes and amplification of oncogenes, although the occurrence of aneuploid cells (with numerical chromosomal anomalies) is not necessarily associated with the transformation: MSCs, with or without chromosomal abnormalities, show growth arrest and enter senescence.

In this study, no clonal chromosomal aberrations were identified in any of the analyzed cases; however, several signs of chromosomal instability were observed including chromatid gaps, chromosomal breaks and tetraploid metaphases.

It is well known that the presence of gaps and breaks, a characteristic of syndromes of chromosomal instability, increased the risk for neoplastic diseases. Therefore, the presence of these signs in cultured SC is evidence of their tumorigenic potential. On comparing before and after cultivation, there was no evidence of an increase in the signs of instability. However, increases in genetic instability probably depend on the time of cultivation. Binato et al. demonstrated, for example, the maintenance of genetic stability up to P4, but several genetic changes were seen from P5 onward underscoring the fact that, for the use of cells from higher passages, it is necessary to analyze case by case. Tetraploidy, i.e. cells with duplicated genetic material, is a well-documented phenomenon in cultivated cells: it occurs at a frequency of 3–5% in cultured human fibroblasts. A small fraction of these cells may show diplochromosomes, which appear only in the first division after endoreduplication as was detected in this
Our results confirm the maintenance of the stability of these cells until P2 in a representative sample of candidates for cell therapy with a mean age of 53 years.

Conclusions

Our results confirm the importance of the G-band cytogenetic study, since this technique is able to detect both numeric and structural alterations, including balanced rearrangements and mosaicism, besides evidencing signs of ‘instability’.

The absence of clonal chromosomal aberrations among our results for G-banded karyotyping shows the maintenance of chromosomal stability of the BM-derived MSC until the second passage; however, signs of chromosomal instability such as chromatid gaps, chromosome breaks and tetraploidy indicate that the long-term cultivation of these cells can provide an intermediate step for tumorigenesis.
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